Arthur Grunbaum

I incorporate by reference those comments and concerns of the Quinault Indian Nation and the Washington State Crabbers Association.

In general I am in support of the MSP document. I do have a few concerns and minor suggested tweaks, however.

Executive Summary PDF page 15 (xiii), I suggest that the 3rd paragraph should be amended "...and to assist state agencies, tribal governments and others in evaluating..."

Page 16 (xiv) Westport was recently named 10th in the nation for commercial seafood landings.

A recurring theme seems to be to limit the MSP direction to offshore wind energy or offshore aquaculture (PDF page 15 xiii; PDF page 18, xvi) etc. The use of "or other development uses..." may solve this issue. For example, the Port of Grays Harbor Commissioner Meeting today, heard a proposal about a stationary barge anchored in coastal waters with a system to extract hydrogen as fuel. It would seem that this type of endeavour may not be covered nor require MSP guidance.

Page 23 (Chapter 1-4), second bullet "...review of proposed renewable energy or other development uses requiring...."

The Washington State Supreme Court's unanimous decision on ORMA suggests that the importance of the provisions of ORMA need to be highlighted in both the Executive Summary and throughout the document as a whole. I believe it requires special treatment as the law has not been dead, it has only been resting until its importance was reinvoked.

Thank you for your consideration on this comments.

Keep slugging,

R.D.
Arthur (R.D.) Grunbaum