		DRAFT COMMENTS on WW Phase II Formal Draft Permit				
Name	Document	Section	Page	Comment		
	WWA Phase II	Compliance with Standards	13 of 92	S4.F.1 - The proposed language indicates that the Permittee must notify Ecology if the Permittee "is causing or contributing to a known or likely violation of Water Quality Standards in the receiving water." The City of Brier has two concerns regarding this language: 1) The language is in present tense (is causing or contributing to) - does that mean any violations we become aware of after the fact do not have to be reported, if not please revise this language; 2) The City asks that the words "or likely" be removed from the above language, because it requires the permittee to speculate or guess to whether a violation may have occurred and as such is inappropriate for a regulatory document.		
Brier	WWA Phase II	Comprehensive Stormwater Planning (WWA)	17 of 92	S5.C.1.a.i.(a) - The City requests that the following language "On or before March 31, 2020, the Permittee shall describe how water quality and watershed protection were addressed during the 2013-2018 permit cycle in updates to the Comprehensive Plan (or equivalent) and in other locally initiated or statemandated long-range land use plans that are used to accommodate growth, or transportation." be removed from the permit for the following reasons: 1)  Water quality and watershed protection updates for the Comprehensive (Growth Management Act) Plan were not required under the last NPDES Permit. Therefore, this requirement it is outside of the purview of this permit or the 2013 permit; 2) Asking a Permittee to report on items outside of the regulatory time window of the proposed permit is also outside of the purview of this permit.  In addition, the repeated references to the Comprehensive Plan are confusing as there is more than type of Comprehensive Plan (i.e. GMA & Stormwater). Please be more specific in referencing these documents throughout this section.		
Brier						

	WWA Phase II	Comprehensive Stormwater Planning (WWA)	19 of 92	S5.C.1.c.i - Stormwater Management Action Planning - The City requests that the following language: "Where significant gaps in the state of knowledge exist, a plan and protocol should be developed to improve the assessment." be removed or modified as follows: The reasons for this request are as follows: 1) The language appears to allude to future monitoring requirements that Ecology staff have stated in a public meeting will not be the case; 2) Many Permittees do not have staff qualified to develop a plan or protocol to fill these data gaps; 3) Most jurisdictions opt to contribute to a regional monitoring effort to avoid the costs of developing and implementing monitoring plans, and this
Brier	WWA Phase II	Comprehensive Stormwater Planning (WWA)	18 of 92	requirement appears to be contrary to that option by requiring permittees to develop a monitoring plan.  S5.C.1.a.i.(b) - This paragraph requires Permittees to update their (GMA?) Comp Plan with water quality and watershed protection elements by 3/31/22. The City requests that this language be removed from the permit for the following reasons: 1) A Stormwater Element is not a required component of the GMA Comp Plan. If Ecology wishes to modify the requirements of the Comp Plan, it should be done through an amendment of the state Growth Management Act, not through a federal stormwater permit requirement. 2) Watershed and water quality protection components should be addressed in a
Brier	WWA Phase II	Comprehensive Stormwater Planning (WWA)	18 of 92	Comprehensive Stormwater/Surface Water Plan, not a GMA document.  S5.C.1.a.i.(b) - The 3/31/22 deadline identified in this section conflicts with our local GMA update timelines, which indicate a Comp Plan update in November of 2021 and 2023. If this requirement is kept, please revise the language to allow Permittees to prepare updates for the Comp Plan by 3/31/22 and
Brier				implement them in the next available GMA update.

	WWA Phase II	Comprehensive Stormwater Planning (WWA)	17-20 of 92	S5.C.1 - While regional stormwater planning efforts are identified as an option
				in this section, this section does not provide enough allowances to complete
				regional stormwater planning efforts. The following items need to be
				addressed to allow for regional planning efforts: 1) Increase the amount of time
				available to complete regional planning efforts (i.e. 7/31/23); and 2) Allow
				permittees to identify and fund priority areas and projects outside of their
				jurisdictional boundaries, but within a basin to which they are contributing
Brier				flows.
	WWA Phase II	Education and Outreach	22 of 97	S5.C.2.a.i.c - Please clarify the intent of the language "ongoing or strategic
Brier				schedule'"
	WWA Phase II	Education and Outreach	23 of 97	S5.C.2.a.ii.(b) - Please revise the language in this section to read as follows: <i>No</i>
				later than July 1, 2020, each Permittee shall conduct a new evaluation of the
				effectiveness of the ongoing or a new behavior change program (required
				under S5.C.1.a.ii and S5.C.1.c of the 2013-2018 Permit). Also in the same
				section, please revise the second sentence to read " If re-evaluating an
				ongoing program, Permittees shall " This will allow Permittees to select a
Brier				new program if their ongoing program needs no further evaluation.
	WWA Phase II	Education and Outreach	23 of 92	S5.C.2.a.ii.c Community Based Social Marketing is a very specific method that is
				expensive to learn and implement which provides a financial burden, especially
				to small communities. The language should, at minimum, be modified to
				indicate that this can be met through a more generic social marketing
				approach. While social marketing can be effective it is not well suited for all
				communities and there should be local flexibility to select methods that work
Brier				for the individual jurisdiction.

	WWA Phase II	Controlling Runoff (WWA)	34 of 92	S5.C.6.a (Vesting of Stormwater Standards) - The City is also very concerned about the language in this section. The proposed language has a hole in what appears to be vesting language regarding the adoption of stormwater standards. It indicates that new standards that go into effect apply to "all (development) applications submitted: i) On or after December 31, 2021. (and) ii) Prior to January 1, 2017 that have not started construction by January 1, 2022." As written this language includes a hole in the (vesting) timeline which indicates that applications submitted on or after January 1, 2017 and prior to December 31, 2021 have no vesting and have to start their application review process over again once the new standards go into effect. The City respectfully requests the following revision to address this issue: 1) Add language that allows development applications to vest to the current standards that went into effect on January 1, 2017.  In addition, the City asks that deadline for implementation of the new stormwater standards be established as of January 1, 2022, rather than December 31, 2021 to create a consistent and cleaner implementation date to avoid confusion to Permittees and the development community.
Brier	WWA Phase II	Operations and Maintenance	49 of 92	S5.C.7.e The language in this section of the permit now includes a requirement to document practices, policies and procedures for the operations and maintenance activities listed in S5.C.7.i-xv, of this section without allowing any ramp up time to complete this requirement. This would put Permittees in immediate non-compliance with the permit. Please revise this section to remove this documentation requirement, as it is unnecessary and limits a permittees operational flexibility to modify these practices, policies and procedures without formal documentation. If Ecology insists on keeping this documentation requirement, please revise it to allow one year for Permittees to complete this documentation process. Please also remove the word "all". This word makes the requirement so broaden that Permittees will spend all of

	WWA Phase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	51-52 of 92	S5.C.8 Source Control Program for Existing Development - The City is also very
	W WA Pilase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	31-32 01 92	concerned about this section as the language in S5.C.8.a.i and S5.C.8.b.i
				indicate that structural retrofits on existing non-conforming private and public
				property are required if operational source control BMPs do not prevent illicit
				discharges, etc. This requirement may have a significant financial impact on
				property owners who developed their property prior to when water quality
				treatment standards went into effect. We ask that this requirement be
				removed from the language from these sections and be replaced with
				educational program that incentivizes structural retrofits on these existing non-
				conforming sites. If Ecology insists on keeping this structural retrofitting
				language, please provide the legal basis Permittees can use to justify
				implementation of this retrofitting requirement.
Brier				
	WWA Phase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	52-53 of 92	S5.C.8.b.iii.(b) - Please add language to this section identifying that Permittees
				may count denial of access to properties towards the 20% annual inspection
				performance standard. Permittees have no control over whether or not a
				property owner will allow access to private property and Permittees should not
Brier				be penalized for it.
brier	WWA Phase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	53 of 92	S5.C.8.b.iii.(c) - "Each Permittee shall inspect 100% of sites identified through
	W WA Filase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	33 01 92	legitimate complaints." While this language appears to be a simple
				requirement, it raises several questions and problems in regards to
				implementation. These questions and problems include: 1) Does this refer to
				complaints received through the IDDE program and spill hotline? If so, how will
				they be documented separate from standard IDDE complaints? and 2) Do
				these complaints count towards the 20% performance standard identified in
				section S5.C.8.b.iii.(b)? As this requirement has the potential to confuse and
				complicate Permittees' existing IDDE programs, and are duplicative of existing
<u>_</u> .				IDDE program components, the City therefore asks that this language be
Brier				removed from this section.
	WWA Phase II	Source Control Program (WWA)	53 of 92	S5.C.8.b.iv.(d) This section allows Permittees to refer non-emergency violations
				to Ecology, provided they meet certain criteria. The City requests that this
				section be expanded to indicate the following: 1) The name, address/email and
				other pertinent Ecology staff information needed to refer these items to
Brier				Ecology; 2) The form the referral should take (i.e. letter, email, phone call, etc.)

	WWA Phase II	Monitoring and Assesment	70 of 92	The current language in S8B3 should be revised. As it is written it is unclear are
				the records to be submitted to DOE or to the SAM Coordinator. Additionally, as
				it is written it is unclear how the Permittee demonstrates they have met this
				requirement or what would be a violation of this requirement i.e. if the request
				was not clear, was not presented in sufficient time to provide is not providing
				information considered a violation. At minimum a yes or no question should be
				added to the Annual Report indicating that the Permittee provided data in
				response to such a request with the ability to indicate why data was not
				provided. Alternatively, the requirement could be written that the Permittee
				must respond to requests from the SAM Coordinator - yes, no, why not and the
				question in the Annual Report would be "Responded to requests for data from
Brier				the SAM Coordinator"
	WWA Phase II	Reporting Requirements		As written, an annual report will be required for 2019. Many sections of the
				permit have moved, and additional sections added. So we have requirements in
				different places for the first and second half of the year. How that will be
				addressed in the annual report questions is not shown in the questions that
				appear in Appendix 3. The city requests a gap year, similar to what was occured
Brier				between the 2007 and 2013 permit cycles.