Phase I, WW Phase II, and EWA PH II Formal Draft Comments				
Document	Section	Page	Comment	
			<ul> <li>6. Coordination among Permittees. a. States that coordination among entities covered under this permit is encouraged. The current permit language states, "The SWMP should include coordination mechanisms" Proposed language changes the "should" to "SHALL".</li> <li>Shall does not encourage coordination, it requires coordination. Retain the word should, to encourage rather than require coordination. Each permittee / entity is unique and governed by a separate elected body. Coordination occurs</li> </ul>	
EWA Phase II	Coordination		to the extent practicable to address permit requirements in a timely and cost effective manner. Requiring coordination unfortunately can often result in inefficiencies and delays, ultimately resulting in the opposite of the desired 11 outcome.	
			The county recommends <b>Ecology</b> develop education and outreach materials for the State as a whole, or for Eastern and Western Washington individually. This would ensure consistency in the messages and be a more economically viable mechanism for addressing public education and outreach. As the requirements within the permit become more and more specific, a broad based approach is	
EWA Phase II	Education and Outreach	11, 12	warranted. Ecology added the requirement to measure the understanding and adoption of the targeted behaviors for at least one target audience in one subject area no later than August 2021. While the EWSG commented that this section of the permit should be moved to the Monitoring and Assessment section of the permit, Douglas County takes no exception with the permit language as	
EWA Phase II	Education and Outreach		<ul> <li>13 written.</li> <li>3. b. vi. Permit language has been modified from "may need to" to "shall". The county recommends leaving permit language as is (may need to), allowing the permittee to implement regulatory mechanisms as needed for our specific</li> </ul>	
EWA Phase II	IDDE		<ul> <li>16 community rather than as required by the permit</li> <li>16 community rather than as required by the permit</li> <li>f. Recordkeeping: If IDDE information is submitted to ECY via WQWebIDDE, then requiring the permittee to compile and submit the same information within the Annual Report is duplicative and unnecessary. The requirements for IDDE reporting should be satisfied by either WQWebIDDE reporting, or submittal of information via the annual report, not both. It is the county's preference to eliminate the WQWebIDDE requirement and utilize the annual report to submit IDDE information. Ecology can utilize this information and</li> </ul>	
EWA Phase II	IDDE		report to submit IDDE information. Ecology can utilize this information and 19 place it in any format deemed necessary.	

		4. b. i. Existing language requires review of Construction SWPPPs prior to
		construction. Proposed language requires review prior to clearing and
		construction. Clearing is not currently an activity that is regulated by every
		permittee. It is not feasible or reasonable to require a submittal for an acti
		that is not regulated. There will be minimal effectiveness associated with the
		addition of this language as implementation will be limited. Will ECY be
		implementing the same expectations in administration of the Construction
		General Permit? Recommend evaluation of potential conflicts with vegetat
		maintenance (clearing) activities associated with addressing potential fire
EWA Phase II	Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control (EWA)	21 hazards.
		Page 41, S8 Monitoring and Assessment, A. 2. Recommend modification of t
		proposed text as follows: Coordinate with other local governments in your
		designated Urban Area to Plan and begin an additional stormwater
		management effectiveness study. Two or more permittees Urban Areas ma
EWA Phase II	Monitoring and Assesment	41 collaborate on a single study.
		G3. B. Ecology should continue to clearly publish contact numbers for
		reporting spills. Often efforts to identify the appropriate contact at ECY is n
		straight forward, which delays response times and results in confusion in the
EWA Phase II	General Conditions	46 roles and responsibilities of each agency.
		Appendix 1, Page 4+, Core Element #2: Construction Stormwater Pollution
		Prevention. The requirements outlined within this appendix provide details
		beyond what is actually needed. This information is already included within
		Chapter 7 of the updated Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern
		Washington (SWMMEW) and duplication within Appendix 1 of this permit is
		unnecessary. All of the core elements should be addresses similar to Core
EWA Phase II	Appendix 1	4+ Element #1, where a reference to the SWMMEW is made.