

Ozgu Tokgoz

I a Tacoma area resident and am presenting myself. As the prior gentleman said I would like to also get a better concept of the area of plume before any cleanup efforts resume. We would like to actually have in confidence where that plume has spread and we should input any technological means that is necessary to be able to tell with confidence before the public end date. I would like to see a comparison, a simple comparison matrix of all the alternatives presented and I would like the evaluation to be based on the success of containment, environmental risk, and health hazards primarily the relation of cost should be the least of our worries. During the application of the remedy, we would like to know what is the pollution exposed to air, water, and soil. How is that monitored? Who is monitoring it? Who is the third party that is going to assist monitoring? And during the discussion, I've heard that there is no air monitoring and I would like to have a more detailed explanation for why there is no air monitoring? And do we have confidence that there will be no air pollution during the cleanup? Following the application of the remedy, how are we to continue doing the monitoring? What will be the frequency and where will this data be stored, so we can access it? Analysis it? Visualize it?

Thank you.