

Mike Webb

Hi, I'm Mike Webb and I am a retired environmental chemist and have lived in Tacoma for the last 20 years. I've worked a lot of sites like this in my career. My wife just pointed out there's a lot of human stories, there's a lot of fear involved. The bottom line for me personally, is I want to see in the definition of maximum extent practicable that's in the Model Toxics Control Act, those words that we get a cleanup that is complete and safe. I spoke earlier to my comment about possibility of error releases during treatment. All of those things have a fear factor and I think their important that the program be complete and safe. Tacoma deserves to have a complete clean up. I guess that's the essence of my comment. I will just for the record, I noted that the plume model showed the boundary at a thousand micrograms per liter and that's way above the risk, that's a very high risk level. There is concentrations of these contaminates much lower than that present at a much wider range than what the figures show. It may be way below the risk level that cleanup will be required to follow and I understand that I just wanted to point it out. Sometimes using a threshold you get anomalies that show up, if you just use a different analytical technique and different graphics you'll get a different answer.

Thank you.