## Sen. Dean Takko

Well, thank you. I'm here in support of the Port of Longview's proposed preferred alternative. First of all, it removes more material than the IP alternative which I think is what we want to do in the long-run which is remove as much material as possible. More importantly than that, to me, is the fact that this property, if the Port's alternative is used, the property can then be used for some economic gains to our community which we sorely need. And that's what it's all about to me is we want to have this property be able to be used. In the other alternative, it would limit the use of it and I want to see as much property down at that Port used as possible. Thank you.