

Wes Bratton

Why is Ecology limiting the EIS Scope to only 3,000 gal? That is the volume for the upcoming Test Bed Initiative, but the permit renewal, of which the EIS is part of, is for a period of 10 years. It would be reasonable to assume that Perma-Fix would want to and be able to grow their business over the 10 years of the permit and therefore a much larger volume should be analyzed in the EIS. The volume of 1 million gallons appears reasonable for the potential growth over 10 years.

What is the current volume of liquid waste being treated at Perma fix?

What is the proposed schedule for the EIS and SEPA evaluation and then the permit renewal? Given the time frame that Ecology has been working on the renewal permit as discussed in the public meeting and TCH, this should be a fast process (much to the work already done) and really should have been start many months ago.

Why is Ecology so far behind in starting the renewal process and resisting to simply renew the existing permit, since Ecology has not had any fault with Perma-Fix current operations and the Test Bed Initiative Waste is only a small part of the annual volume that Perma-Fix processes?