

Brenda Blanchfield

Consider a review by the Department of Agriculture, particularly regarding pest control. The description of Agriculture for burning is too narrow. As it is worded, it only includes a farmer who has a tax statement declaring so. When government must manage a control burn, as in apple maggot brush, it is not currently considered. Please address Pest control brush, regardless conducted by local government or farmer owned property. The Dept of Ag. needs to review the definition with the control measures allowed for local government as well as farmers.

Agriculture burning should be allowed for removing agriculture, even if it is going into development because it is removing agriculture. Please reconsider the ruling preventing a farmer from burning the brush even if he is not replanting.

The UGA is isolated from participating in the county, unincorporated programs, according to the rule. Nor can they participate in the City or Urban program. The rule is short sighted not allowing the UGA to haul their brush to areas outside the UGA, even though they are county residents. There may be some way to address a mechanism for this population to manage their material. Currently the only think is to backyard compost or garbage. This is not a well thought out ruling, because it does not provide any way for people living in this designated area to manage their material.