

## Liz Marshall

I commend Ecology, the City, the Port, and WADNR for the cleanup. It seems like an engineering miracle to pull off remediation which is satisfactory for both people and the environment. Maybe the project has gone on since 1996 and is two years behind schedule but it is great to correct the contamination.

My preference when this phase and park plans take off would be to emphasize construction, topographical features, and abundant native plants that are conducive to aquatic, avian and all creatures. Structure, designs and systems ideally would deter members of the public from harming the acreage both upland and marine. For just one example, people constantly throw rocks into the water for some odd reason - adults and children alike. Landscape rocks are probably bought for a pretty penny from river sources far away, perhaps even overseas. Throwing them into the Bay not only hurts or kills the creatures who are hit, but wastes taxpayers' money and sacrifices those landscapes where the rocks came from.

I will be glad if the City's monitoring of shoreline habitat and species shows benefits to birds, fish and terrestrials after the cleanup. Maybe the City, Port, etc. have extermination tendencies with regard to geese, pigeons, gulls and others - I don't know for sure - but in my opinion it would be the correct action to enhance shoreline habitat and respect/protect non-human as well as human animals.

Thank you for your science and good works.