

## Scott Moore

Thanks for the opportunity to provide comments. I agree with the clean up effort, but have some comments. While I do agree with the clean-up proposal my preference would be to completely remove the toxins though I realize it may not be practical or fully needed. One dilemma I have is that currently (if I'm my interpretation and understanding of the clean-up effort is correct) is that presently the in-water toxins are not considered a hazard as time has rendered them less harmful or not dangerous to life, thus I'm wondering if or why removing or capping the in-water toxins is actually needed at this point especially as the mere passing of time has and is diluting the harmful chemicals!

Another area of concern is the toxin contamination surrounding the railroad tracks. I realize the difficulty and complexity to address this area as it's an active rail line, but it seems this hazardous area of concern is being ignored and would like to see if some kind of arrangement with the railroad could be found to mitigate this location of toxin issues!

I've been following the past proposals to construct a new northern in-water boardwalk route from Boulevard park to a projected new park along the waterfront area (the location of an old city dump I believe) and I was wondering how this toxic clean-up effort is going to impact that project?

I would like to commend all the entities for addressing these past hazardous occurrences and making our community a better and safer place to live and play. Thank you all for your good efforts as it's much appreciated and applauded.