

# Phyllis Kobaly

The proposed smelter will be in an area known for its pristine environment which drives many economic factors; tourism, recreation, hunting, fishing, mountain sports, etc. Will the proposed smelter negatively impact the current economy?

How is PacWest/HiTest addressing the accusations that their data for the manufacturing process is inaccurate?

The proposed smelter site sits on the border of Washington State and Idaho State. Will Washington DOE work with Idaho Dept. of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for a combined EIS process?

Will the process to determine allowable rates of pollution consider the already present damage to air quality during fire season?

How will the region's air inversions due to the mountainous terrain be considered in the allowable pollution threshold?

How will the raw material reach the proposed facility; by rail, by truck?

Can all access roads sustain the additional traffic?

Will other, more environmentally friendly, silica metals extraction methods be considered?

It has been documented that factory workers of silica metal manufacturing plants show accelerated lung decline due to work environments. If this is found in PacWest/HiTest employees in future years, what will PacWest/HiTest do?

Does any of the manufacturing process release pollution into the environment? (air, water, soil) If so, how?

How will the 'at risk' population; the young, elderly, asthmatic and those with existing lung problems be affected by the additional air pollution?

What are the by-products of the manufacturing process and what is done with them?

Will citizen tax dollars be used in any way for this proposed facility?

Will any part of this process be put to a vote?