

James Brooks

I disagree with this proposal for at least 2 reasons. First, the thinking that "going green" is beneficial to the environment is inaccurate. The damage done in mining the necessary elements to make "clean" cars, panels, etc., does tremendous harm to the environment both through damaging the land and polluting with hydro-burning vehicles in the process of mining. And then there is the waste that will ensue once the life of the vehicles and panels is reached...it will fill our landfills. The idea that we are "saving the environment" by "going green" is a faced for political posturing and there is certainly political motivations for this effort. It's being pushed for nefarious reasons.

Additionally, the government should not be forcing this transition to environmentally friendly energy. It will happen naturally, at the right pace when the science making "clean energy" allows for more efficient conversion of natural sources, that makes these sources cost-effective and economically attractive to produce and use. Those scientific breakthroughs will soon arrive and then people will want to transition to clean energy use, rather than being forced to transition when it is more expensive to them and tax-payer dollars are subsidizing the transition...it costs people more in both ways, for a concept that is NOT environmentally friendly as claimed.

Abandon this effort to force Americans to do what they are not yet ready to do. Americans will transition to clean energy when it makes economic sense. The whole specter that the environment is on the edge of "global warming" or "climate change" or whatever the current government-generated fear is, does not agree with real, honest, scientific reality. Climate Change is hyped and used to control people and the government needs to get out of this business!!