

Michele Haugen

RE: Chapter 173-423 WAC

Although I am in favor of continually working to protect our environment to the best of our abilities, I want to make sure our state is doing so in a way that protects the livelihoods and well being of our citizens. Adopting California's rules on no gas powered vehicles by 2035 is not only unrealistic, the damage that trying to do so far outweighs any good it may or may not accomplish (most other states and countries will continue to use fossil fuels and it's citizens will be more prosperous as a whole because they won't be plunged into poverty with carbon taxes and unaffordable transportation and heating). We can have a more common sense approach to protecting our environment. We can let the free market be innovative and put out products that people will WANT to use. We can continue to encourage corporations to make any fuels cleaner, including fossil fuels. And we can do these things in a common sense way that doesn't force people to buy anything, or taxing them to death. People will just cross state lines to buy gas fueled cars, or they won't buy new ones at all, at least the ones who can afford to do so. This proposed, bad legislation, like most ideologically driven legislation, will hurt the weakest and poorest the most. Do any of you want your daughters on public transportation with junkies and criminals? Poor people would have no other choice-they certainly won't be able to afford electric cars and fuel and they won't be able to travel to buy an affordable car. Clean air and water is something most people want.....good ideas don't require force.