

KRISTEN WEISE

Please stop adopting these bills until a clear path forward to upgrades to the electrical infrastructure AND AFFORDABLE vehicle options are available. Please put an achievable and realistic plan in place to upgrade the infrastructure, THEN determine IF and WHEN Washington state should implement these new requirements. Rather than ALL or NONE thinking (and copy-cattng California), can we, as a state, come up with a path that makes sense for all of Washington rather than just the I-5 corridor? Have you tried to figure out how to make it work from anywhere in rural King county to the more urban centers? With no current plan on trains/buses/light rail, these rules force struggling families into further debt with EV vehicles, which are incredibly expensive, and little to no used market to purchase something cost-effective.

Let's not even talk about the harmful process of obtaining all the necessary subcomponents for the batteries or the disposal options/impacts.

Commerce: With heavy-duty trucks costing on average \$400K, the limited range and NO rebates/help for the truck drivers and fleets that must start upgrading, what is the cost to the consumer? We, as consumers, will bear the cost of both availability of products and the price of the expensive units.

Adopting these rules is irresponsible without ACTUALLY putting in an achievable plan to support the infrastructure required at reasonable costs to the consumers and taxpayers. Exchanging one consumable resource with another (Lithium) is not a long-term solution. Has Washington Department of Ecology done ANY research on Lithium mining and its impacts on the countries with the resource? Or is the state putting up blinders because the resource doesn't come from the US?

Shame on the Department of Ecology and our governor's office for even considering moving forward. Washington State should be better than this.