Ben Dekock

While I'm 100% for cleaner air, and earth sustaining decisions, it can not be driven by a "political based timeline", it can ONLY be driven by a "technological based time line".

Failing to adhere to this principle, could result in a financial and infrastructure disaster as technology has not met the minimum sustainable requirements, nor has society been able to financially support the needed changes by the proposed timelines.

We are overly focused on one technology, that has a carbon footprint no different than current energy sources, with the added danger of depleting rare earth minerals, and it's politically and strategically sensitive sources with the seemingly sole focus on an electrical solution.

We are ignoring hydrogen, the most abundant energy source on earth, with long lasting sustainability benefits, which can be sourced locally, vs offshore imports.

We furthermore need to adopt a "blended approach" to energy sourcing, as oil will be required for lubrication, and a plethora of products for centuries to come. This includes the exiting technology for heavy transportation, as in maritime shipping, and the railroad industry.

Lastly, change by nature takes time, infrastructure change takes time, economic preparation for what amounts to the biggest energy change in human history - will DEFINITELY take time. While goals are admirable, and timelines are a part of goal setting, it must be driven by a realistic technology advance, and not be supersede by political nor ideological fantasy.