

Elizabeth Darcy

While I believe the intentions behind this initiative are well meaning, I don't think it will actually meet the objectives it claims to be attempting to solve.

As an example, this claims to be the better environmental option; however, what happens when batteries die? We throw them away. There is no repair, only replacement. This creates more waste, not less.

Additionally, it seems to only consider a certain population and doesn't take into account the various use cases folks have for their vehicles. Many folks use vehicles for work to haul or tow things; however, electric vehicles are not currently equipped to support these use cases.

What reasonable person has time to stop every 100 miles (optimistically) to recharge for an hour in order to tow a trailer 500 miles? It's not practical, and there are no advancements being made that would make this better.

This doesn't even speak to the fact that we are attempting to regulate while the support infrastructure for electric vehicles is still in its infancy. Our driver who's towing likely couldn't do it even if he wanted to because the charging stations don't exist or are occupied. You want to drive cross country to see your family? Add another day to your trip because you're going to be stopping and spending way more time sitting still while you wait for your battery to charge up. Power outage? Sorry, you're stuck in nowhere land until the power comes back.

This regulation speaks to urban elites, completely ignoring an entire population of working class citizens who need their vehicles to work and rural citizens who have no other transportation choices. Do not enact this rule. Not only are you not fixing the problem, you're making other issues worse.