

Matthew S.

As a general principle, the state of Washington should not adopt policies or regulations from other states without express legislation with parallel but independent language. Washington voters deserve to be represented by its state government and not have its state government act as if Washington is a province or colony of California, subject to the whims of California voters, politicians, and bureaucrats.

On the substance of the proposal, it is ill-advised and poorly timed. For reference, California recently posted conflicting guidance, namely a push for zero-emission vehicles while the California Independent System Operator called for people to avoid charging their electric vehicles due to the strain it would place on the grid.

Washington's electric grid is not ready for this transition, even if the lower Snake River dams are left in place (though the same people pushing for zero-emission vehicles and the same ones wanting to removing hydropower capacity in Eastern Washington--but strangely not hydropower dams owned by Seattle City Light or Tacoma Power). Similarly, transitioning to zero-emission vehicles has other environmental costs that are often neglected. What is the environmental impact of battery production and eventual disposal, wind turbine construction, etc. (e.g., rare earth mineral mining and supply chains)?

The transition will happen organically over time. Trying to force it through too soon will not create an "aha" moment leading to newer and cheaper technology, but instead will create unnecessary financial hardship for the people of the state of Washington while unlikely to achieve any net positive environmental gains.