

# Brie Gyncild

What information should Ecology provide in its annual report?

To ensure the promise of funding for frontline communities is kept, the annual report should include the following: <br>Use of quantitative data as well as narrative reporting based on community feedback <br>Incorporation of full information on effects to frontline communities resulting from projects and programs, including, but not limited to: <br>Direct and indirect benefits to frontline communities resulting from the project; <br>Environmental health disparities reductions; <br>Unintended adverse impacts from expenditures; <br>Detailed explanation of how communities were identified, including how communities were consulted in the identification processes; <br>Geographic boundaries of the communities identified and project scope; <br>Which vulnerable populations were reached and their demographics; and <br>How frontline communities were consulted prior to receiving funding and during program implementation <br>Reporting on greenhouse gas emission reductions, community resiliency, and environmental impacts beyond carbon <br>Accountability and transparency through use of clearly defined and easily tracked metrics in order to track progress over time

What additional information should be included about spending that benefits vulnerable populations in overburdened communities?

Same thing I noted in the my first comment: <br>Incorporation of full information on effects to frontline communities resulting from projects and programs, including, but not limited to: <br>Direct and indirect benefits to frontline communities resulting from the project; <br>Environmental health disparities reductions; <br>Unintended adverse impacts from expenditures; <br>Detailed explanation of how communities were identified, including how communities were consulted in the identification processes; <br>Geographic boundaries of the communities identified and project scope; <br>Which vulnerable populations were reached and their demographics; and <br>How frontline communities were consulted prior to receiving funding and during program implementation <br>Reporting on greenhouse gas emission reductions, community resiliency, and environmental impacts beyond carbon <br>Accountability and transparency through use of clearly defined and easily tracked metrics in order to track progress over time

How should Ecology determine which projects are required to report their GHG emissions reductions?

All projects should be required to report their GHG emissions. If that proves infeasible due to administrative burden on the part of Ecology, determination should be made based on overall historical emissions as well as current emissions, as well as any project that has made a commitment or has been required to make a commitment by state, federal, or local law.

What should Ecology consider when determining how to evaluate greenhouse gas emissions reductions from projects?

include scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions; projects that don't increase emissions on site but generate additional emissions elsewhere should be held accountable for those

What else would you like to share?

Reducing GHG emissions is our number one priority as a species. While cap and trade programs are not ideal in a number of ways, this is what we have and we must ensure that we leverage it to dramatically decrease emissions while providing the committed support to frontline, vulnerable communities and meet Tribal agreement obligations.