

Jeanne Poirier

What information should Ecology provide in its annual report?

How much money was made and how it was allocated

What additional information should be included about spending that is formally supported by a Tribal resolution?

Confirm the tribes wanted the project and how it benefits them

What additional information should be included about spending that benefits vulnerable populations in overburdened communities?

Ensure the agreed 35-40% of funds are given to frontline communities - with their input.

Fully fund their answers to the problem of health disparities and impacts from pollution wherever they live.
Right now, only 10% has been allocated.

How should Ecology determine which projects are required to report their GHG emissions reductions?

Wouldn't GHG emission reduction be something all projects would want to claim? How else are you going to know if the CCA program is even working.

What should Ecology consider when determining how to evaluate greenhouse gas emissions reductions from projects?

What was it before? How much investment did it take? Did it work and reduce emissions?

What else would you like to share?

Quite honestly, I don't believe in the CCA. We should have gone with Washington STRONG. We'd already have people at work, improved insulation, energy efficiency projects and leaps forward in transportation (not cars).
Cap & Trade is like carbon sequestration & storage - a way to continue to pollute, just pay a fine.

Good luck though - it is bringing money into the state of Washington.