Jon Costantino

What information should Ecology provide in its annual report?

Clearly distinguished data as to whether a funded project was mitigation or adaptation. The cost/per ton of GHG reduced, if applicable. The GHG in question (CO2, Methane, Black Carbon, etc.) The potential for additional benefits in the out years from a project today. Ecology should clearly specify assumptions such that the calculations are repeatable in a consistent manner (assumed VMT reductions for a given rideshare program, etc.). Take the lessons learned from California's CT revenue reports.

What additional information should be included about spending that is formally supported by a Tribal resolution?

Skipped

What additional information should be included about spending that benefits vulnerable populations in overburdened communities?

Clearly specify if the benefit was mitigation or adaptation, and whether it was a direct or indirect benefit. Aggregated percentages of total spending, and spending by area are useful metrics.

How should Ecology determine which projects are required to report their GHG emissions reductions?

Any direct replacement of electric power for combustion should be quantified. Adaptation projects should only quantify reductions if they can. Ecology should take the lead in quantifying the reductions to ensure consistent calculations and assumptions. If the project will eventually produce GHG reductions, even if in the short-term they are not yet a reduction.

What should Ecology consider when determining how to evaluate greenhouse gas emissions reductions from projects?

Direct emission reductions. Life Cycle reductions for fuels that are part of the Clean Fuels Standard. Standard assumptions.

What else would you like to share?