

# Rachel Haxtema

Dear WA Department of Ecology,

Thank you for your work to protect communities and the environment from toxic PFAS chemicals through many different mechanisms, including the PFAS Chemical Action Plan, Safer Products for WA implementation, and now the PFAS Food Packaging Alternatives Assessments.

As a person of faith, it is important to me that we do all we can to protect communities from toxic contamination and its negative health effects, as well as tread lightly on our sacred earth.

As a parent, I hope to be able to ensure that all of my food is in non-toxic packaging and that our take-out meals to support local businesses do not bring harm to the earth.

As a person who loves the Salish Sea and the salmon and orcas in our region, I want to see our waters and creatures protected from contaminated water.

As a Washingtonian, I want my state to be a leader in all environmental standards.

With the upcoming second alternatives assessment for PFAS in food packaging, time is of the essence. It has already been three years since we passed the PFAS food packaging legislation in 2018. While we wait for this bill to be implemented, retailers across the country continue to voluntarily stop using food packaging with PFAS, demonstrating that alternatives are widely available. Additionally, cities like Seattle that require entirely compostable food packaging, which does not contain PFAS, also confirm that these products exist and are widely used.

Please consider the abundant options and widespread circulation of various PFAS-free food packaging being used in Seattle and voluntarily by many retailers elsewhere as evidence that there are suitable and safe alternatives available.

I also ask that you accelerate the timeline for the alternatives assessment process because of serious environmental justice concerns. The Daikin America plant in Decatur, Alabama, where PFAS for food packaging is produced, has a legacy of significant PFAS contamination of land and drinking water. People of color comprise 78% of the exposed community living within three miles of the plant. We have a moral responsibility to protect communities both in Washington and where PFAS is produced outside of the state. Removing PFAS from all food packaging as soon as possible is imperative for the health of communities everywhere.

I appreciate that Washington is a leader in toxic chemical reform. Please continue to set a high standard with swifter implementation of the second PFAS Food Packaging Alternatives Assessment.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Haxtema

1108 N Washington St Tacoma, WA 98406-5525  
rachel.haxtema@gmail.com