

Adam Ellner

Let's ensure Ecology's reporting/restriction decision is appropriate for products containing what might be designated as "hazardous substances" by the EPA, which might entail additional laws that kick in that govern the sale, distribution, management, and disposal of hazardous substances. When deciding on how certain PFAS-containing products and product groups will be required to face reporting or restriction requirements, please consider the implications of the EPA's upcoming designations of certain PFAS chemicals as "hazardous substances" regulated under CERCLA. This will likely have significant implications for the producers of these products and the waste water and solid waste facilities receiving PFAS downstream. Please make sure not only your regulations are aligned with the EPA's designations, but also the intended actions taken by product and chemical manufacturers in response to the state and federal regulatory initiatives will dovetail. Please also consider the role that Extended Producer Responsibility might have in this arena: 1) Ecomodulation might consider PFAS as warranting of high per-unit fees for covered products, which would make reporting mandates crucial to enable this product/packaging redesign policy instrument, & 2) If WA follows Vermont in passing EPR legislation for household hazardous waste, some PFAS-containing products might be covered under that framework as well. Thank you all for your work on this matter of extremely high importance.