

Elisia Dalluge

I believe a complimentary courtesy notice via directly &/or public notice should be issued now and the enforcement date should be asap. Enact Dec 31, 2025. Enforcement starts January 1, 2026.

Effective immediately.

In rationale, because if you are going to start off with warnings & education, to give all the benefit of doubt, there is no reason any other delays should exist to begin enforcement. In all due seriousness because of the detriment it is having on humans, animals and environment.

Based on material science, they knew even before anything ever went into circulation. Through opportunity costs their profits mean more than public health. Activist organizations have long advocated for change through policy and/or directly.

1st 30-60 days, issue warnings & education anything after that is their gross negligence or willful intention... Either way their responsibility. They should be keeping up on regulatory changes in business practices that can potentially positively or negatively effect their profits since they are money orientated. In common sense if the effect were positively profiting them, of course they would push for immediately or as quick as possible so why delay especially where harm is established? These are Forever Chemicals. No excuse.

For example: If there is a speed change and I don't see it, it is my responsibility to know whether intentional or not and subjects me to a ticket and fines. The discernment is speeding isn't killing us, animals and ecosystems in the mass amounts. In further analogy, a bit extreme, but if a suicide bomber blew up a packed room and killed lots of people and destroyed the ambiance, should they get warnings and education to not do it again? Absolutely not. But yet in perspective that is what these companies are doing with the knowledge of real harm created. Except worse, they are slow killing us for profit and draining our Medicaid/Medicare systems.

From a material science and research and development perspective, in logic, one can easily confidently conclude the obvious: they already know what supplies they are using. They know what their materials they are using and the benefits and detriments.

They already know what they are putting in their products and selling to the public. To entertain them pretending to not on any level makes us look stupid or supporting them by not taking this serious when they are just using the system with unnecessary delays to squeeze as much money as they can for as long as they can. It contributes to the issue and a participant. I can not in good conscience just quietly sit back and agree to delays of strict enforcement.

And the fines should be reasonably exorbitant because they have been profiting, are still profiting off this and if they will make more money from profit vs. baby fine/s they will continue. If they don't see making money off these kinds of practices, and lose money, they will stop. 1st fine should be equivalent to profit plus 10%, second should be double plus 20% and so on.

They are money mindset, not good conscience led. And the ones that are actively caring are already if not already did make the necessary changes for the betterment of all life forms and I know that

for a fact because I signed on giving them good faith opportunity.

Regulators mount up.

Thank you.