

**From:** [Dpfreysauce@yahoo.com](mailto:Dpfreysauce@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Hanford \(ECY\)](#)  
**Cc:** [dpfreysauce@yahoo.com](mailto:dpfreysauce@yahoo.com)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Test Bed Initiative (TBI) Demonstration  
**Date:** Thursday, March 14, 2024 4:43:46 PM

---

External Email

External Email

WDOE,

Please reference below my response to this topic on January 17, 2022. I still think that the utilization of the existing vaults for grouted waste storage makes more sense than hauling the same material offsite, thank you.

David P. Frey  
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** [dpfreysauce@yahoo.com](mailto:dpfreysauce@yahoo.com)  
**Date:** January 17, 2022 at 1:35:58 PM PST  
**To:** [TBIWIR@rl.gov](mailto:TBIWIR@rl.gov)  
**Cc:** [dpfreysauce@yahoo.com](mailto:dpfreysauce@yahoo.com)  
**Subject:** **Test Bed Initiative (TBI) Demonstration**

Jennifer,

Just West of the HLW are four empty vaults and one partially filled vault with grouted low level waste, vault 1. At the time these vaults were constructed this technology was considered the Best Available Technology (BAT). Hauling grouted waste offsite does not make sense when we have vaults that are ready to receive the grouted waste onsite and easy distance from Tank SY - 101. I performed Acceptance Inspection during the construction of vaults 2 through 5. I worked directly with Washington State Department of Ecology during the construction of these vaults. My name is all over the acceptance documents for these vaults. As far as I know, the State had no issues. Please consider utilizing the onsite vaults for storage of low level grouted waste in lieu of the new proposed concept, thank you.

David P. Frey

Sent from my iPhone