

## Matt Hamilton

My concern is with the indirect emissions. The rule 442 got thrown out of court because that, and it went to the Supreme Court and made it out minus the indirect emissions part of that rule. I could see it happening again with this one—I don't see, you know, what the difference is between the two, but I'd just hate to see you go through all this hard work to have it tied up in court again. But, another concern is the, the indirect emission portion (inaudible). Like, the input from cement and electricity, but the cement plant and the power plants already you know, count those greenhouse emissions when they make the cement or they make electricity, so there's a little bit of double counting going on there and the indirect emissions for, the emissions from the products you make--that's kind of why it got thrown out of court. Anyway, that's a couple of concerns I have and that's all I have right now, but thank you for your time and good luck with this rule.