

Phillips 66

My input was going to be around the potential for double-counting beyond WA's borders, for both 1) counting upstream (raw material) and downstream (product) GHG emissions outside WA, and 2) mitigation for same. There is often not good visibility for product end-use, for both final destination or use. Bill's comment that not all emissions may be appropriate for mitigation suggests understanding of this. You'll recall that I shared a schematic in our WSPA/WDOE call earlier this summer and made similar points.