

## Sierra Club

I'm an organizer at the Sierra Club, thank you so much for providing this space today, and for all the valuable information about the process that you're anticipating for this rule making, I just have two brief comments.

One is to thank you for the notice of when the public, the formal public comment period will be and I'd love to learn more about that process to ensure robust participation and the time of COVID, there's additional obstacles for many and we don't know what's going to be happening in 2021, But I hope you all are continuing to consider, you know, what that can look like, and how we can have a lot of different options for public engagement across the state as there's a lot of folks who are going to want to be participating in this.

And then, I'd also just like to make a comment in response to, I believe it was the gentleman from the business association, just about some of the points in terms of defining geographic boundaries within Washington state is incredibly limited and it would totally defeat the entire purpose of evaluating for upstream emissions and this rulemaking. Fracking is not allowed in Washington State. Right? So any fracked gas projects that are coming in, that upstream emissions sources are outside Washington, so it's just a little bit of a ridiculous proposal to me and, you know, also it would be ridiculous to keep the same measurement numbers as we currently because again, my understanding is the point of the rulemaking is the current numbers has been insufficient in actually evaluating what the impact of these projects are gonna be.

So that's all I wanted to share. Thank you so much for the space and I really appreciate you all getting a wide spectrum of voice is involved so early on.