Maggie Carrido Adams

To the Department of Ecology, Clean Energy Coordination:

My name is Maggie Carrido Adams and I live at 36532 SE Woody Creek Lane. I am writing to submit a scoping comment on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for stand-alone, utility-scale, lithium-ion battery energy storage system facilities in Washington (Publication 26-06-017).

I am commenting because a stand-alone BESS facility is proposed near where I live, and Ecology has said that project-level reviews will tier to this PEIS. Ecology has asked for input on questions for the study. My comment addresses the following:

Q3 · Impacts to analyze — The harms the study has to look at.
It's crucial that responder training, hard-to-extinguish fires, toxic air, harm to water and fish, and cleanup each get a full analysis, not a mention, as the Ecology study scoping document states it "will evaluate" each of them. It's important that the study model what a battery fire's smoke does to the people living nearby. The scoping document acknowledges toxic gas from damaged batteries can hurt firefighters' skin and lungs. It does not mention neighbors, who have no protective gear and may not be able to leave. Evacuation, displacement, and offsite cleanup should count as impacts in their own right. Ecology's own example, the Moss Landing fire, forced 1,200 people from their homes. The study needs to measure nighttime noise at the nearest home, not at the fence line, since Ecology's earlier studies found that noise from these facilities reaches 1,000 feet in quiet rural areas. I ask that the PEIS analyze, for stand-alone facilities: thermal-runaway fires and hazardous-gas emissions (including hydrogen fluoride) with dispersion modeling for inversion-prone valleys; contaminated fire-suppression water and its path to groundwater, private wells, and fish-bearing streams; multi-day incident demands on local fire, HazMat, hospital, and evacuation capacity; continuous nighttime noise from cooling and inverters at distances beyond 1,000 feet; and seismic performance of container-based installations in mapped fault zones.

Q4 · Mitigation — The steps Ecology should require to reduce the harm.
The study should be specific enough to give local reviewers something to hold a developer to, because my county will lean on it when it reviews a real project. The siting and design considerations Ecology plans to give developers from the study must include minimum distances from homes, schools, hospitals, and drinking-water wells that are proven to be safe for the surrounding community, not just general guidelines. The study should recommend large-scale fire testing be required for every facility, whether or not the state has adopted the newest code, since Ecology itself credits it with reducing risk. I ask that the PEIS identify concrete mitigation measures, including minimum setbacks from homes, schools, hospitals, and drinking-water wells; large-scale fire testing (UL 9540A) and compliance with the current edition of NFPA 855 regardless of state adoption status; funded emergency-response plans, training, and equipment for local fire districts before operation; containment and disposal plans for fire-suppression water; enforceable nighttime noise limits at the nearest residence; and decommissioning and financial-assurance requirements.

This is personal for my household. I live near a site where a stand-alone BESS facility is proposed. My household depends on Highway 18 and SR202, which is also an emergency route for everyone

along it.

Because Ecology itself says stand-alone facilities "could be sited in a variety of locations," including near cities, the PEIS should not assume facilities will be far from people. I ask that Ecology treat proximity to residents as a defining condition of the analysis, and that the Draft PEIS state plainly which impacts cannot be mitigated below significance, so that lead agencies and the public are not left to guess.

Thank you for considering this comment. Please include it in the scoping record. Our community is following this PEIS closely and intends to participate in the Draft PEIS comment period.

Sincerely,
Maggie Carrido Adams
36532 SE Woody Creek Lane