

Zero Waste Washington (Heather Trim)

Three just real high level comments.

one is very, very strongly feel that the training must be improved in the the the language for training must be improved in in the draft. We have required training for other arenas, for example, wastewater treatment facilities, etcetera. So this is not some sort of, you know, oddball thing to have it be in this field. And they're even if they're not exactly the same, there are trainings available across the board for the different aspects of the solid waste that we're talking about here. And maybe you could customize a little bit if you're feeling like there's a need to do that when preparing, when you're working on the stakeholder process to build up to the legislative bills. There was really strong comments on the part of the consultants who are going in and trying to help make high quality facilities across Washington and across the US. That training is like a really, really critical part of this. And there's too much staff turnover and the technology does change and there's a need for for people really to be refreshed on an annual basis.

Major, major issue two I know this is not going to be agreed with by many people in the in the compost world, but I do think we need to have tighter restrictions for the contamination that and whether it be volume or or weight that's, that's a discussion for others, but that we cannot this is a major issue in terms of the plastics coming through in the products.

And finally, I really strongly feel that we need to differentiate between lightly packaged and heavily packaged food items, food like they've done in Vermont and would like to see that language incorporated.