Barbara Keller

I want to commend you for updating rule making for possible fossil fuel spills but I believe you do not go far enough.

- 1) Shipping is required to be insured for \$1 billion per shop or barge to cover the real (or at least do-able) costs of environmental repair. Obviously no amount of money is going to totally undo the damage but the public should not have to bear the costs because industry is not adequately insured. If they cannot afford the insurance, they should not be in that business.
- 2) Tar sands transport should provide a similar level level of protection to the public purse. Tar sands are by their nature potentially even more problematic. The Canadian TransMountain Pipeline running through our Salish Sea area needs to be required to provide us with adequate protection. A financial responsibility requirement that is based on a higher per barrel amount in order to address the higher oil spill response and damage costs for spills of tar sands products. It has been suggested that the basis for the Trans Mountain Pipeline's financial responsibility requirement should be increased to at least \$60,153 per barrel leaked. This is a minimum in my mind. Again, no amount is going to really undo the damage of a leak or spill.

Thank you for listening to my concerns. I hope in the future I can thank you for implementing them.