

Brent Morrison

Thank you for the work you're doing to diagnose and to remediate the Quiet Cove site, and for the action work plan. I have several questions regarding that plan.

1. I note in figures 6 and 12 that there are contaminated areas that extend beyond this property and will not be cleaned up in this round of work. What is the plan to remediate these areas, or at least to notify the owner (viz. the city) and other interested parties about the condition of the site? Whose responsibility is cleanup of these areas, given that the contamination most likely came from the site that you are remediating, for which you have identified a liable party?
2. What is the plan to prevent contaminants that may exist on the extant tank farm to the southwest from leaching into the remediated soil? Also, given that the soil around the remediation site will remain contaminated, what is the plan to prevent contaminants from leaching back from there as well?
3. The beach seems uncharacteristically "dead" to me, and I suspect this could be the result of contaminants from the uplands. As I understand it, most of the sediment samples taken from the beach were only about a meter deep, and many were not analyzed at all. Based on the slope of the top of the contaminated layer through section A-A, it appears to me that one would have to bore down within zero to one meter of MLLW on the beach before contaminants would be found. Are there any plans to test deeper sediment samples, or to analyze more of the sediment samples that have already been taken? Or, could you please explain why you are certain that deeper sediment samples need not be taken and more analysis need not be done?
4. Is there any plan to remove the old product lines identified figure 3?
5. On page 17, the report says that the cultural significance of buildings will be identified with the DAHP prior to demolition, if demolition were required. What range of actions could be taken based on the determination of cultural significance?