

# Randal Friedman

Angela — You are listed as the Public Involvement Coordinator for the Camas Mill Clean-Up Order, DE 18201, which includes the Public Participation Plan. You sent out a letter to “Interested Party” on February 25th seeking comment on these documents. While I did not receive such a letter, I have seen one and started a conversation about it.

There is some confusion about how a request for public hearing is made. Under "How can I comment", there's a web-address specific to this Order which leads to a form to submit comments. It is a long and random email address so I hope people can find it.

The next paragraph of your letter conflicts as you describe the process for granting of a public hearing and public comment extension. For this comment you seem to call it a “request” that should be mailed or emailed directly to you.

So which is it?

The City of Camas exists because of the mill. It's economic engine built the City. The City's future, and certainly that of our Historic Downtown, depends on how that property is cleaned, as necessary, for its post-industrial future. It's that simple.

I'm sure there will be well more than 10 requestors but I do think they should have clarity on where to send them.

I copied my City Councilor so she can have accurate information if her constituents ask.

I copied Carolyn Mercury. Carolyn is Board President Co-Chair off the Downtown Camas Association. In this capacity, she interacts and can represent our diverse Historic Downtown community. If she didn't get a “Dear Interested Party” letter she should have and should be part of the ongoing mailing list. I would like to make sure she has the correct address.

I also request to be on the mailing list.

I have a long professional background as a retired Navy-civilian in the clean up of closed military bases covering thousands of acres in California, including one federal Superfund site within the City of San Francisco. Many of these bases were responsible for a community growing up around them. I would like to talk to you about how we handled public involvement for such major sites. We recognized they were more than a number.

Please provide as soon as possible as people will be wanting to know the correct way to request this hearing.

Please consider this a formal request for Public Hearing meaning there are only 9 more to go.

I might add I was surprised by this ten person requirement. Is it in Washington statute? I was also surprised Ecology wouldn't start this process with a public hearing on this order. That's what the

Navy always did.

Thanks.

Randal Friedman  
916 542-5314