

William Alexander

I support the comments made by the Point Edwards Unocal Review Committee. The committee asks to have the feasibility studies revised to include a salmon recovery estuary as a potential future use for the site. Public concerns need to be adequately included as significant influence to the plans presented for completion of the site cleanup.

Why should we write off lands that have been contaminated, especially to pass on the costs from those that have done the environmental damage?

This marsh estuary could be a jewel and an example for other shoreline cities to emulate in the restoration of creeks and estuaries. There is potential for the estuary to support a massive run of salmon, and be a significant stopover for bird migrations. Recently, it has been reported that resident orca pods have converged in the sound nearby due to the record runs of salmon showing up at the smaller creeks, such as Piper's Creek. Please do a complete cleanup that would allow a salmon run that could help maintain the endangered orca populations.