

Chris Walton

Alternative #6 is not acceptable.

The cost analysis as presented at the Ecology meeting on Sept 17 (Edmonds, City Hall) does not account for future use.

The whole point of "Ecology" is to protect the environment. The citizens of Edmonds have made it clear that the intention for use of this land is to be a natural habitat. They plan to restore the 2 creeks that flow through this property. Solution #6 will potentially put the expense of cleanup on the taxpayers in our efforts to protect the marsh and more importantly use this land for a park and restore the streams.

All of the contaminated materials should be removed, not covered up.