

# Chris Altwegg

To Washington State Department of Ecology, Regarding the Unocal Cleanup

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

I believe that the disproportionate cost analysis regarding cleanup of the Unocal property is flawed – it's missing several items. Including those items (which are listed in Washington State guidelines) would bring the costs of Alternative 4 and 6 much closer. Items that are missing are:

- Public concern – this was thoroughly displayed at the meetings earlier this year, particularly the one in September. The vast majority of attendees were clearly in favor of a more intensive cleanup. Tulalip Tribes and other local tribes are also concerned. Have their concerns been addressed?
- Future use – According to the WACs, future useS include "habitat restoration and resource recovery." Neither of these was mentioned in the DCA.
- Issues of sea level rise and climate change – these are not theoretical! They WILL happen! They are happening. And they were not mentioned in the DCA, even though they are central to the "cap and cover" approach.
- There are more...

Chevron needs to take updated soil samples and do a new feasibility study. THEN revise the earlier DCA and include the items mentioned above. We might well learn that the actual cost of Alternative 4 is not that far from that of Alternative 6.