

Richard Steele

In recent discussions and meetings regarding the UNOCAL property and the Edmonds marsh/estuary, it has been mentioned that the WSDOT 104 storm drainpipe running through the area is over 50 years old and will need to be replaced in the foreseeable future. This drainpipe is an integral part of the area of highest contamination that would be protected by environmental covenants in Alternative 6, which is being proposed. Doesn't this create a near certainty that the environmental covenant would be violated by WSDOT at some point in time? Doesn't this present a huge risk to both WSDOT and any future property owner (i.e. the City of Edmonds)? Is this being considered in the evaluation of Alternative 6?