

Eric Adman

1. Chevron Corporation obtained significant economic gains by their use of this site, and it is hard to argue that they don't have the means to pay for cleanup. Chevron should be required to clean up the site.
2. Potential future use of the property as a restored estuary reconnecting the Edmonds Marsh to Puget Sound needs to be included in a revised feasibility study.
3. A more accurate cost-benefit calculation is needed.
4. Environmental hazards, especially from climate change and sea level rise, are required to be included in cleanup plan decision making.
5. Public Concern has not been properly weighted.
6. A cleanup plan between Alternative 4, complete excavation, and Alternative 6, no excavation, should be considered.
7. Tribal rights and interests must be included in the feasibility study.
8. Updated soil samples are needed before the feasibility study is completed.