

## Vivian Olson

I appreciate the efforts of Unocal/Chevron, Ecology, and the dedicated community volunteers who have all contributed to the great progress made at the Edmonds Marsh to date. It has been time consuming, and it has been expensive. I start by saying thank you and meaning it sincerely.

Just as sincerely, I implore you to revisit the analysis that led to selection of Option 6. Something was missed or improperly weighted. Leaving the contaminants beneath such an aged pipe and thinking that an environmental covenant will offer any lasting protection to the marine life and environment does not pass the commonsense test: failure of the pipe from age or natural disaster is a probability rather than a possibility, and when that happens, the contaminants are no longer neatly contained beneath it. Addressing the integrity of the pipe would likely postpone such an environmental crisis, but removal of the contaminants under the pipe is the only long term, environmentally responsible approach to this problem.

Unocal/Chevron does not owe us cleanup to this level because they are rich. They owe it to us because they are responsible.