

Bernie Busch

After reading the comments and suggestions that have been presented, there is little I can add other than I am strongly in support of The Department of Ecology NOT allowing Unocal to abandon their obligation to restore the marsh property that they have contaminated over these many years.

It is obvious to me, and it appears a majority of the community, that Option #6 would possibly allow Unocal to slip out of their obligations and that future liabilities would have to be borne by others.

It is obvious to most people who have studied the situation that Option #4, to completely restore the marsh area that has been contaminated, is the only truly ethical choice. Unocal knows it. You know it and the community you are in a position to protect knows it.

PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING AS THE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING THE ECOLOGICAL HEALTH OF OUR STATE. You are aware that our state's legal department is not afraid to stand up for our state in court to protect us from powerful financial giants. The attorney general's office does it very effectively. They have your back, and you have been given great information from our citizens to bolster your decision to hold Unocal to account and have them proceed under Option #4 and finish repairing the damage they have caused.