

From: [Sarah Boyd](#)
To: [Forkeutis, Kristen \(ECY\)](#)
Subject: Future of Unocal Area
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2024 5:32:47 PM

External Email

TO: Kristen.Forkeutis@ecy.wa.gov

I have read the "Comments to the Revised Draft Feasibility Study 2024" prepared by our Point Edwards Unocal Review Committee and approved by our Point Edwards board of directors, and I am concerned about the fact that Chevron is proposing to allow toxic waste to remain on the Unocal site. This will complicate development of a salmon recovery estuary.

I am presenting the following questions and comments about how this decision was reached.

The courts and legislature have adopted a public policy through court orders and budgets mandating that barriers imposed by culverts be rebuilt so that salmon resources can be enhanced. This may cost the taxpayers **billions** of dollars. Why should the DCA weigh costs in a manner that allows Chevron to avoid a few million dollars in cost (well under 11.427 million dollars) that would create a path through the Unocal site for salmon?

It is my hope that sufficient toxic waste can be removed so that a salmon recovery estuary can be created efficiently and cost-effectively. I appreciate your consideration of these important issues.

Regards,

Sarah Boyd
41 Pine Street #211
Edmonds WA 98020