

Washington Conservation Action

Please find attached 174 public comments from supporters and members of Washington Conservation Action. We expect that each letter to Ecology in this document will be regarded as an individual, unique comment letter.

With regards,
Katie Byrnes

-- Sent from **Nancy Shimeall** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am concerned and I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities.

We all must live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. Tribes must also be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

It is alarming that most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. These sites exist in neighborhoods and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This must change.

The following changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public

about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Nancy Shimeall
74 Hoh PI
La Conner, WA 98257

-- Sent from **Jonathan Betz-Zall** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

Please ensure that the toxic cleanup law includes strong protection for the communities that are most affected by the poisons. I'm particularly concerned about people of color and low-income communities, which bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jonathan Betz-Zall
302 NW 81st St
Seattle, WA 98117

-- Sent from **Felicity Devlin** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most

effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Felicity Devlin
2417 N Washington St
Tacoma, WA 98406

-- Sent from **Paul Sampson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data are clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Paul Sampson
8458 Tillicum Rd SW
Seattle, WA 98136

-- Sent from **Sara Bhakti** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

Protecting the environment is my top priority.

In choosing among the thousands of toxic waste sites across Washington State to be clean up, please give priority to those sites closest to the homes of people of color and Tribes. It is a health issue for them.

Washington State's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live. It should. An environmental group I follow makes these recommendations that I ask you to consider when choosing which sites to clean up first in the Model Toxics Control Act:

- Cumulative health impacts to the people living close to these toxic sites;
- Disproportionate Cost Analysis (the DCA) should consider the savings from health costs with more effective outreach and public notice to those impacted.

Thank you,
Sara Bhakti
22975 SE Black Nugget Rd
Issaquah, WA 98029

-- Sent from **Lehman Holder** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

As a concerned member of the public, I ask you for your attention on this. My objective is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most

effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lehman Holder
8916 NE 11th St
Vancouver, WA 98664

-- Sent from **Marian Wineman** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. I retired from a job focused on cleaning up hazardous waste sites, so I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, nearly half of the 13,000 toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for

those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Marian Wineman
3611 45th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199

-- Sent from **Dagmar Fabian** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxins, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxins. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Dagmar Fabian
1480 Birchwood Ave Apt 101
Bellingham, WA 98225

-- Sent from **Kathryn Ryan** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste. Please take care of our environmentally vulnerable populations.

Thank you,
Kathryn Ryan
18923 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

-- Sent from **Fleener Teresa** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

My undergraduate degree is in environmental science, so I am aware of the complexity of issues surrounding toxic waste disposal. I care about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law does not prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law does not currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Fleener Teresa
151 E Robbins Rd
Grapeview, WA 98546

-- Sent from **Jean Waight** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. I am blessed to live in a clean neighborhood with fresh air and good water. But I am mindful that my waste goes somewhere, and it is time we do justice to those communities who get the short end of the stick and have toxic places located near them. I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for

those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jean Waight
919 Coronado Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229

-- Sent from **Carole Burger** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Carole Burger
21428 86th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070

-- Sent from **Carrie Heron** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy green spaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxins, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxic substances. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most

effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Carrie Heron
3955 S Eddy St
Seattle, WA 98118

-- Sent from **Matthew Boguske** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy green spaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxins, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington State still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxins. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Matthew Boguske
8500 148th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052

-- Sent from **Rebecca Durr** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I care about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

This needs to change.

These communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Rebecca Durr
2703 Riverview Dr
Aberdeen, WA 98520

-- Sent from **Judith Thierry** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. I grew up in Kalamazoo, Michigan and played in an area which is now a superfund site. My day job is as a pediatrician and grandmother. I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities, children as they grow and thrive (and play!). You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy green-spaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxins, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. It's an incredible number but believable as I lived near several growing up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for

those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Judith Thierry
8220 65th St Ct W
Tacoma, WA 98467

-- Sent from **Barbara Citko** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am a concerned Washington citizen. I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their how they adversely affect communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach

them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Barbara Citko
530 4th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119

-- Sent from **Virginia Davis** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

As a concerned member of the public, I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

* Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

* Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

* Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Virginia Davis
17721 NE 156th St
Woodinville, WA 98072

-- Sent from **priscilla martinez** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We need to take better care of what is left of our environment, for people, wildlife, marine life, and plant life.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.
- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.
- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for

public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
priscilla martinez
35411 SE English St
Snoqualmie, WA 98065

-- Sent from **Derek Benedict** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public who cares deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities.

And you can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most

effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Derek Benedict
709 212th PI SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036

-- Sent from **Gloria McClintock** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes.

And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes.

Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics.

This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites.

When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option.

This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem

services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Gloria McClintock
1411 Northview Ct
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

-- Sent from **Kathleen Allen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

Alongside perpetual concern about radioactive waste at Hanford still leaching into groundwaters ..., we all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most

effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Kathleen Allen
5900 37th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118

-- Sent from **Jennifer Hickey** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jennifer Hickey
5720 Crow Haven Rd
Langley, WA 98260

-- Sent from **Julia McLaughlin** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

This plant--our home--was once pure and pristine, for millions of years. In less than 200 years humans have screwed it up so bad some of it will never recover. Protect what's left. NOW!

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites

and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Julia McLaughlin
16740 Dodd Ln SW
Rochester, WA 98579

-- Sent from **Ken Lederman** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public who cares deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial.

As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Ken Lederman
5137 NE 41st St
Seattle, WA 98105

-- Sent from **Virginia Metcalf** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. I care deeply about the health of our planet and in reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. Tribal members must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach

them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Virginia Metcalf
8814 30th St Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

-- Sent from **Emily Van Alyne** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Emily Van Alyne
6749 Whitestone St
West Richland, WA 99353

-- Sent from **Pawiter Parhar** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Pawiter Parhar
22626 NE Inglewood Hill Rd
Sammamish, WA 98074

-- Sent from **Thomas Frenock** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Thomas Frenock
1627 209th PI NE
Sammamish, WA 98074

-- Sent from **Stephen Green** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Stephen Green
12719 Country Club Pl
Burlington, WA 98233

-- Sent from **Lucy Flanagan** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lucy Flanagan
12030 4th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177

-- Sent from *rein attemann* to *Mr. Clint Stanovsky* on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
rein attemann
316 NW 86th St
Seattle, WA 98117

-- Sent from **Selim Uzun** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Selim Uzuner
1807 344th Ave NE
Carnation, WA 98014

-- Sent from **Lisa Ceazan** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lisa Ceazan
303 41st Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98506

-- Sent from **Michael Garten** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Michael Garten
3420 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103

-- Sent from **John Shirlock** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
John Shirlock
1409 Rainbow Ln
Camano, WA 98282

-- Sent from **Julia Paulsen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Julia Paulsen
8237 Ravenna Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

-- Sent from **Frank Kroger** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Frank Kroger
1504 E Alder St
Seattle, WA 98122

-- Sent from **Suzanne Nevins** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Suzanne Nevins
123 Grand Fir St
Chimacum, WA 98325

-- Sent from **Daniel Henling** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Daniel Henling
1412 NW 61st St
Seattle, WA 98107

-- Sent from **John Paladin** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
John Paladin
10605 SE 240th St
Kent, WA 98031

-- Sent from **Margaret Woll** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Margaret Woll
208 Highland Dr
Bellingham, WA 98225

-- Sent from **J K** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
J K
15120 Starr Rd SE
Olalla, WA 98359

-- Sent from **Rebecca Kempton** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Rebecca Kempton
121 E 28th St
Vancouver, WA 98663

-- Sent from **Kristen Bakken** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Kristen Bakken
1429 21st Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

-- Sent from **Greg Espe** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Greg Espe
6278 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

-- Sent from **Diane Sullivan** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Diane Sullivan
1231 SW Kalama Loop
Oak Harbor, WA 98277

-- Sent from **Richard Osmun** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Richard Osmun
2726 NW Valley St
Camas, WA 98607

-- Sent from **John Thompson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
John Thompson
4953 S Spinnaker Dr
Freeland, WA 98249

-- Sent from **Frances Marquart** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Frances Marquart
8610 Nixon Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498

-- Sent from **Anita Scheunemann** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Anita Scheunemann
6316 199th Loop SW
Rochester, WA 98579

-- Sent from **Nancy Ellingham** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Nancy Ellingham
9106 Fortuna Dr Apt 4201
Mercer Island, WA 98040

-- Sent from **Ben Moore** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Ben Moore
4823 243rd St SW
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

-- Sent from **Linda Hall** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Linda Hall
15504 91st Ave Ct E
Puyallup, WA 98375

-- Sent from **Barbara Blackwood** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Barbara Blackwood
11916 E 25th Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99206

-- Sent from **Grace Padelford** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Grace Padelford
11807 100th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034

-- Sent from **Matthew White** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Matthew White
3661 Briarwood Dr SE
Port Orchard, WA 98366

-- Sent from **Janet Riordan** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Janet Riordan
1925 Weaver Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290

-- Sent from **JAMES WILLIAMS** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
JAMES WILLIAMS
9614 50th PI W
Mukilteo, WA 98275

-- Sent from **Lucia Faithfull** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lucia Faithfull
1232 SW 296th St
Federal Way, WA 98023

-- Sent from **Tiffany Brace** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Tiffany Brace
4302 13th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108

-- Sent from **James Mulcare** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403

-- Sent from **Betty McNeil** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Betty McNiel
14224 SE 45th PI
Bellevue, WA 98006

-- Sent from **Joseph Yencich** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Joseph Yencich
9117 NE 151st St
Bothell, WA 98011

-- Sent from **Noah Ehler** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Noah Ehler
32115 NE 110th Ct
Carnation, WA 98014

-- Sent from **Phuong Nguyen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Phuong Nguyen
940 Martin Luther King Jr Way S
Seattle, WA 98144

-- Sent from **Carolyn Boatsman** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Carolyn Boatsman
3210 74th Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040

-- Sent from **Sarah Bauman** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sarah Bauman
695 Chuckanut Dr N
Bellingham, WA 98229

-- Sent from **Tim Wandell** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Tim Wandell
6413 Shadow Ln
Aberdeen, WA 98520

-- Sent from **Ronald Lovell** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Ronald Lovell
4110 S 144th St
Tukwila, WA 98168

-- Sent from **Cheryl Speer** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Cheryl Speer
410 SW Park St
Camas, WA 98607

-- Sent from *mary n* to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
mary n
14005 SE 38th St
Vancouver, WA 98683

-- Sent from **Ann May** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Ann May
45 Hylebos Ave
Milton, WA 98354

-- Sent from **Alfred Ferraris** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Alfred Ferraris
1340 Corona St
Port Townsend, WA 98368

-- Sent from **Adina Parsley** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Adina Parsley
20420 Marine Dr
Stanwood, WA 98292

-- Sent from **Asphodel Denning** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Asphodel Denning
108 5th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98104

-- Sent from **Elizabeth Lengel** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Elizabeth Lengel
12901 S Wildwood Ln
Anacortes, WA 98221

-- Sent from **Jamie Kitson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jamie Kitson
8603 WA-92
Granite Falls, WA 98252

-- Sent from **Scott Bishop** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Scott Bishop
1710 Giles Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502

-- Sent from **Bee Evans** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Bee Evans
968 SW Inglewood Ln
Oak Harbor, WA 98277

-- Sent from **Barbara Fristoe** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Barbara Fristoe
3418 16th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144

-- Sent from **Amy Mower** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Amy Mower
7392 Mt Baker Hwy
Maple Falls, WA 98266

-- Sent from **Norman Baker** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Norman Baker
3789 Lost Mountain Rd
Sequim, WA 98382

-- Sent from **Elmer Preston** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Elmer Preston
4015 133rd St SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012

-- Sent from **Richard Johnson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Richard Johnson
6 Overlake Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229

-- Sent from **shary B** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
shary B
1950 Alaskan Wy
Seattle, WA 98101

-- Sent from **Gena DiLabio** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Gena DiLabio
3124 Dakota Dr
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

-- Sent from **Phebe Schwartz** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Phebe Schwartz
523 N Garden St
Bellingham, WA 98225

-- Sent from **Emily Thompson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Emily Thompson
303 NW 164th St
Ridgefield, WA 98642

-- Sent from **Lorelette Knowles** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lorelette Knowles
1010 Hoyt Ave
Everett, WA 98201

-- Sent from **Michelle Pavcovich** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Michelle Pavcovich
11351 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

-- Sent from **Elena Rumiantseva** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Elena Rumiantseva
3807 West Lake Sammamish Pkwy NE
Redmond, WA 98052

-- Sent from **Sally Neary** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sally Neary
22608 115th PI SE
Kent, WA 98031

-- Sent from **John Cruz** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
John Cruz
8912 SE Hillcrest Dr
Vancouver, WA 98664

-- Sent from **Celia Cruz** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Celia Cruz
8912 SE Hillcrest Dr
Vancouver, WA 98664

-- Sent from **David Arntson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
David Arntson
1615 208th St SE
Bothell, WA 98012

-- Sent from **JENNIFER VINING** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
JENNIFER VINING
5119 Palatine Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103

-- Sent from **Linda Golley** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Linda Golley
626 2nd Ave S
Kent, WA 98032

-- Sent from **Kristi Weir** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Kristi Weir
4639 133rd Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006

-- Sent from **ken benoit** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
ken benoit
5614 114th St SW
Mukilteo, WA 98275

-- Sent from **Victoria Urias** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Victoria Urias
14001 35th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

-- Sent from **Carole Henry** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Carole Henry
6345 Seabeck Holly Rd NW
Seabeck, WA 98380

-- Sent from **Jennifer Valentine** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jennifer Valentine
313 1st Ave S
Seattle, WA 98104

-- Sent from **William McGunagle** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
William McGunagle
1727 E Olympic Ave
Spokane, WA 99207

-- Sent from **Susan Loomis** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Susan Loomis
15150 140th Way SE
Renton, WA 98058

-- Sent from **James Cronin** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
James Cronin
2525 W Maxwell Ave
Spokane, WA 99201

-- Sent from **Debbie Spear** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Debbie Spear
20928 133rd St SE
Monroe, WA 98272

-- Sent from **Lorraine Hartmann** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lorraine Hartmann
10627 Durland Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

-- Sent from **Gerald Iyall** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Gerald Iyall
3412 Orbit PI SE
Olympia, WA 98501

-- Sent from **Jeannie Keyes** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jeannie Keyes
485 Renton Center Way SW
Renton, WA 98057

-- Sent from **Lucy Larkin** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lucy Larkin
1200 Western Ave
Seattle, WA 98101

-- Sent from **Andrea Speed** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Andrea Speed
1618 154th St E
Tacoma, WA 98445

-- Sent from **Lucy Ostrander** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lucy Ostrander
11431 Miller Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

-- Sent from **Don Williams** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Don Williams
4910 Cushman Rd NE
Olympia, WA 98506

-- Sent from **Michael Hill** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Michael Hill
701 Mineral Hill Rd
Mineral, WA 98355

-- Sent from **Sandra Ciske** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sandra Ciske
1717 Sunset Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116

-- Sent from **Tom Craighead** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Tom Craighead
28203 137th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070

-- Sent from **Frederick Duhring** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Frederick Duhring
2845 13th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119

-- Sent from **Kevin Davis** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Kevin Davis
22023 SE Wax Rd
Maple Valley, WA 98038

-- Sent from **Bob Schuessler** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Bob Schuessler
4249 S Kenny St
Seattle, WA 98118

-- Sent from **Josefina Lopez** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Josefina Lopez
11130 SE 208th St
Kent, WA 98031

-- Sent from **Peter Baird** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Peter Baird
9105 Fortuna Dr
Mercer Island, WA 98040

-- Sent from **Thomas Cox** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Thomas Cox
11682 Holmes Point Dr NE
Kirkland, WA 98034

-- Sent from **Steven Trevallee** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Steven Trevallee
734 Broadway E
Seattle, WA 98102

-- Sent from **Peter Reagel** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Peter Reagel
15719 4th Ave S
Burien, WA 98148

-- Sent from **Keegan Wulf** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Keegan Wulf
311 9th Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501

-- Sent from **Maureen Kill** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Maureen Kill
14041 15th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

-- Sent from **STEPHANIE BELL** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
STEPHANIE BELL
21507 42nd Ave S
Seatac, WA 98198

-- Sent from **Alice Flegel** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Alice Flegel
8301 James Rd SW
Rochester, WA 98579

-- Sent from **Alyce Fritch** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Alyce Fritch
2160 NE 100th St
Seattle, WA 98125

-- Sent from **Jody Caicco** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jody Caicco
23402 NE 108th St
Vancouver, WA 98682

-- Sent from **Cheryl Biale** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Cheryl Biale
7711 Greenridge St SW
Olympia, WA 98512

-- Sent from **George Summers** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
George Summers
1311 S Massachusetts St
Seattle, WA 98144

-- Sent from **Sharon Anderson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sharon Anderson
1920 NW Mulholland Blvd
Poulsbo, WA 98370

-- Sent from **Joanne Watchie** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Joanne Watchie
2440 Alki Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116

-- Sent from **Dan Rogers** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Dan Rogers
3331 H St
Washougal, WA 98671

-- Sent from **Kylie Loynd** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Kylie Loynd
2525 Minor Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102

-- Sent from **Jean Pauley** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jean Pauley
414 Malden Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112

-- Sent from **Deborah Efron** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Deborah Efron
10129 Main St
Bellevue, WA 98004

-- Sent from **Gianina Graham** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Gianina Graham
660 Horizon Rdg Rd
Cle Elum, WA 98922

-- Sent from **Joyce Grajczyk** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Joyce Grajczyk
12026 SE 216th St
Kent, WA 98031

-- Sent from **Sari Schneider** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sari Schneider
7600 SE 29th St
Mercer Island, WA 98040

-- Sent from **Tanara Saarinen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Tanara Saarinen
4418 Rosedale St NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

-- Sent from **Norm Conrad** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Norm Conrad
1120 S 25th St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

-- Sent from **Bruce Shilling** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Bruce Shilling
7120 Linden Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103

-- Sent from **james hipp** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 13, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
james hipp
609 Rosette Ct
Bellingham, WA 98226

-- Sent from **Philip Bebbington** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Philip Bebbington
12534 N Park Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

-- Sent from **Don Worley** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Don Worley
1949 WA-25
Kettle Falls, WA 99141

-- Sent from **Lori Erbs** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lori Erbs
5310 Marda Ln
Acme, WA 98220

-- Sent from **Tika Bordelon** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Tika Bordelon
1400 Hubbell Pl
Seattle, WA 98101

-- Sent from **Nancy White** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Nancy White
13311 E Forrest Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99216

-- Sent from **Margie Heller** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Margie Heller
731 S Garfield St
Spokane, WA 99202

-- Sent from **Jeanie Bein** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Jeanie Bein
4911 Coronado Ln
Bellingham, WA 98229

-- Sent from **Sandra Russell** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Sandra Russell
925 SE Kamiaken St
Pullman, WA 99163

-- Sent from **William Sneiderwine** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
William Sneiderwine
14901 SE Sunpark Dr
Vancouver, WA 98683

-- Sent from **Thomas Hughes** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Thomas Hughes
915 N M St
Tacoma, WA 98403

-- Sent from **Penelope Johansen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Penelope Johansen
715 W Broadway Ave
Montesano, WA 98563

-- Sent from **Dennis Ledden** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Dennis Ledden
183 Webb Rd
Sequim, WA 98382

-- Sent from **Katherine Nelson** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Katherine Nelson
9445 S 232nd St
Kent, WA 98031

-- Sent from **Suzanne Blair** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Suzanne Blair
306 9th Ave N
Kelso, WA 98626

-- Sent from **Colleen Curtis** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Colleen Curtis
1520 Chuckanut Crest Dr
Bellingham, WA 98229

-- Sent from **Angie Dixon** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Angie Dixon
6949 Humphrey Rd
Clinton, WA 98236

-- Sent from **Therese L** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Therese L
417 NE John Storm Ave
La Center, WA 98629

-- Sent from **Lois Eulberg** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Lois Eulberg
4730 US-97
Peshastin, WA 98847

-- Sent from **Marquam Krantz** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Marquam Krantz
5698 NE Wild Cherry Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

-- Sent from **diane marks** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
diane marks
728 Caroline St
Port Angeles, WA 98362

-- Sent from **r wood** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
r wood
4326 University Way NE
Seattle, WA 98105

-- Sent from **Barbara DuBois** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Barbara DuBois
5020 N 18th St
Tacoma, WA 98406

-- Sent from **kathy golic** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
kathy golic
13705 460th Ct SE
North Bend, WA 98045

-- Sent from **Claire Sagen** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Claire Sagen
3015 127th PI SE
Bellevue, WA 98005

-- Sent from **Linda Heckman** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Linda Heckman
18725 41st PI W
Lynnwood, WA 98037

-- Sent from **Mary Denny** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Mary Denny
4217 216th St SW
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

-- Sent from **Mark Canright** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Mark Canright
11589 Martin Rd
Rockport, WA 98283

-- Sent from **Rebecca Canright** to **Mr. Clint Stanovsky** on Apr 14, 2023 --

Dear Mr. Stanovsky,

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public. My day job is not to track these complicated processes, but I care deeply about reducing toxic waste sites and their impacts on communities. You can help by making the following changes to the documents out for public comment.

We all deserve to live in a community where we can breathe clean air, drink clean water, and safely enjoy greenspaces, rivers, and lakes. And Tribes must be able to harvest healthy salmon, free from toxics, as they have since time immemorial. As you know, most of the 14,000-plus toxic waste sites across Washington state still need to be cleaned up. Toxic waste sites exist in neighborhoods in our communities and disproportionately affect people of color and Tribes. Despite this, the state's environmental cleanup law doesn't prioritize cleanups in the places where we live.

The data is clear: People of color and low-income communities bear a disproportionate share of health risks from exposure to toxics. This includes increased risk for cancer and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. The environmental cleanup law doesn't currently factor this into deciding when, and how, toxic waste sites are cleaned up. This needs to change.

These changes must be incorporated into the Model Toxics Control Act through the current rulemaking processes:

- Cumulative health impacts: Toxic waste sites in Washington are often clustered in low-income communities of color. In addition to the health impacts that stem from living close to these sites, these communities also suffer from the consequences of living near other forms of pollution, including airports, freeways, and Superfund sites. When prioritizing cleanup sites and determining how they are cleaned, the cumulative impacts of living in areas where pollution is heavily concentrated must be considered.

- Disproportionate Cost Analysis: The Disproportionate Cost Analysis (DCA) currently fails to provide enough guidance to accurately represent the ecosystem services and public health benefits of a thorough, more protective cleanup when compared to the monetary cost of each cleanup option. This leads to a consistent underestimation of the ecosystem and public health benefits and an overrepresentation of the cleanup costs. Ecology must provide more guidance for consistent analysis that accurately represents the true benefits of ecosystem services and public health.

- Public notice: All members of the public have a right to know about toxic waste sites and cleanups happening in their communities. The current methods Ecology uses for public notification do not make this information sufficiently accessible, especially for those without easy access to the internet or technology. To ensure that the most effective communication strategies are being used, Ecology must gather information

about the affected communities to determine the best modes of communication to reach them. In addition, Ecology must provide more effective outreach to the general public about how to find information and receive notifications beyond the current obscure website registry.

With your help, we can ensure that cleanups happen equitably. Communities deserve a strong cleanup rule that keeps them healthy and safe. There is no time to waste.

Thank you,
Rebecca Canright
11589 Martin Rd
Rockport, WA 98283

