

## Andrea Moore

I live near a toxic site owned by Rayoneir Forest Products. This site is reviewed every two years and yet nothing has been done. It's a waterfront property in my town, which is a small town that relies on tourism income and an adjacent trail to the site for yearly revenue. This is also a site just north of a National Park.

The town is living in the shadow of an era where the forest industry once created livelihoods but now has left behind toxic oceanfront property and lost livelihoods. Port Angeles is in need and many of its residents have not recovered from the loss of industries which rely on natural resources. First Nations tribes in the area of restored a damned river ecology and made an incredible example of what can be done to reverse damage done from previous times.

The Rayonier site presents a similar opportunity and would benefit from the proposed rule amendments. I am in favor of the rule amendments because the state of Washington will benefit from cleaning up toxic sites, supporting the areas and people and the tourist economy and relations between First Nations people, the lands we have left to restore and our reputation as an "Evergreen" state.