

Sharon Holt

For the lay person, I find the mailer confusing. As I read it, the proposal is to replace the existing RC for the Texaco site because the soil and groundwater meet MTCA cleanup standards even though -1-contaminated soil containing diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons at concentrations greater than those allowed by MTC was discovered in the northern portion of the property. . .appears to be related to the SMI Inc. Trust facility. . .-1- So how is the northern contamination going to be mitigated? This is not explained in the mailer. Someone can build on this site and not be concerned with the northern portion of the property? Please explain. It would seem that we should error on the side of the utmost caution and prudence when cleaning up polluted properties. This is in the public interest. Yes, a hassle for the developer, but it's best for the common good.