

Alison Pieper

The mailer mentions that higher concentrations of hydrocarbons have been located on the northern side of the parcel, possibly connected with the 8733 Greenwood Ave parcel. Is this due to groundwater transport of these contaminants, and if so, would it make sense to expect more contamination to enter the Texaco 211544 site from the north and spread further south? It seems like removing the current Restrictive Covenant without addressing this potential inflow of contaminants might be premature.

Thank you for your response.

P.S. It would also be appreciated if an easier-to-type hyperlink was included in the mailings for posting online comments compared to the current address.