

Joe Scordino

Here are additional questions for the Feb 13 Zoom meeting and your negotiations with Unocal/WSDOT as well as for your SEPA determinations and Administrative Record.

13. Exactly 'what' was revised (or will be revised) in the TEE "to match City and Community interests" (see Ecology's Jan 2024 Q&A document)? Can Ecology provide a table to the public that shows each of the contaminants and their before/revised cleanup levels?

14. How will Ecology be addressing the future "exposure pathway" in Unocal's final cleanup plan for aquatic organisms in a deep (10-feet or more) tidal channel across the property (for salmon restoration) that likely will expose aquatic organisms (including salmon) to any remaining LNAPL across the entire site?

15. In confirming that the CULs are protective of aquatic receptors, will Ecology consider the recent research findings by NOAA on long-term effects of PAH exposure on salmon (especially ESA-listed salmon)? (see #12 in Jan Q&As)

16. What are the "alternative ways" to clean up the site (please list them) that Ecology will be evaluating to determine "a preferred remedial alternative" (see 8.a in your Jan 2024 Q&As).

17. Why aren't the Detention Basin No. 1 monitoring results shown on the map at the last public meeting? Has the soil and or water in this pond been monitored? If it is deemed 'clean' – what is basis for that given polluted surface water flows that have gone into the pond as well as discharges from the polluted old Detention Basin No 2? Could Ecology provide the report(s) that document any monitoring and results in this pond?

18. How has the 2020 Chevron-WSDOT litigation affected the negotiations on environmental covenants?

19. How has the Governor's priority for salmon recovery affected Ecology's determinations on final cleanup of the Unocal site (whose future use is nearshore restoration and salmon recovery)?

20. Will the City of Edmonds become responsible for quarterly monitoring of entire site if/when it obtains the property for salmon restoration? How many wells will be required to be monitored, for how long, and will Ecology conduct the Lab analyses (at no cost to City)?

21. Why isn't the Edmonds Marsh included in the Agreed Order and cleanup. We know that surface water off the Unocal site and Detention Basin discharges have gone into the Edmonds Marsh. Further, Unocal groundwater contamination has likely migrated into the Marsh groundwater. We also know there is Bunker C oil and other petroleum compound contamination on the north edge of the Edmonds Marsh resulting from Unocal and its lease operations on Dayton Street in past. Where/when is Ecology going to address this with Unocal as the culpable entity?

22. Has Unocal/Chevron or Ecology obtained a Section 10 permit from the Army Corps of Engineers and is there an ESA Section 7 consultation underway on impacts of the contaminants and

cleanup on ESA listed Chinook salmon, Steelhead and Southern Resident Killer Whales?