

Thomas Amorose

I support these amendments. It's important that this site not be developed further, even for recreational purposes, beyond the trails that already exist (with removal of spurious social trails, as noted in presentations on the amendment). There's just too much risk of spread of contaminated soils. King County faces intense pressure from county residents to expand out amenities (picnic shelters, play areas, etc.), and so it is hoped this amendment will discourage these developments at such a compromised site, for the health of Island residents and the County generally. Thank you.