

Nathan Miller-Indresano

It is my desire that we do not go with option 3 (capping), and instead go with option 5 (removing). If we are going to get the site cleaned up, we should do so in the most permanent manner, not one in which leads to further costs for future development. The slow pace of the clean up is preventing the sustainable development of our town. Personally I have desires to start my own marine business, growing seaweed for fertilizer and other agricultural purposes, but the status of the polluted site prevents me from seriously moving forward with it.

Please go with the option that leads to permanent remediation of the area, so that we can once again safely use the area.