

# Neil Conklin

I am writing you today asking you to reconsider your Disproportionate Cost Analysis with consideration of the following:

1. Future Use - Clean-up Goals to include rezoning to residential housing.

'Include any planned future uses of the site and any habitat restoration or rescue recovery for the site' (WAC 173-340-351(6)(a))

2. Permanent Solution

'A permanent solution is defined as one in which cleanup standards can be met without further action being required at the site'n (WAC 173-340-200)

3. The resilience of Alternative S-3 to climate change impacts.

'The ability to resist and recover from climate change impacts should be considered explicitly and documented.' (WAC 173-340-360 (5)(d)(iii)(A)(III) and 173-340-351 (6) (f) (vii)

If the MTCA rule requires cleanup actions to "use permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable", Alternative S-5 should be the only feasible and cost effective method to restore the ecology of this important cultural and economic resource with urgency.

Thank-you kindly,  
Neil Conklin