

## City of Sammamish

The City of Sammamish has submitted a letter for public comment (attached.)



801 228<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Sammamish, Washington 98075-9509  
Phone: 425-295-0500 • Fax: 425-295-0600 • www.sammamish.us

---

August 10, 2021

Eleanor Ott  
Water Quality Program  
Department of Ecology  
P.O. Box 47600  
Olympia, WA 98504

RE: Public Comment for Draft Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit

*Via Ecology's Online Submittal Form*

Dear Ms. Ott,

I am writing to share the City of Sammamish's concerns about the Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) draft Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit (PSNGP) for municipal wastewater treatment facilities that discharge into Puget Sound. Ecology's issuance of a PSNGP is premature and needs much more work before it can be implemented successfully. We request that Ecology delay the issuance of the PSNGP until the modelling of nutrient inputs to Puget Sound is well understood, the limited available data is fully evaluated, and alternatives have been explored.

While the City does not operate a municipal wastewater treatment facility, our residents would be impacted financially. Preliminary estimates indicate that residents and businesses served by King County wastewater treatment facilities could have rate increases three times greater than current rates in order to comply with the proposed PSNGP.

The City recognizes Ecology's responsibility to maintain compliance with water quality standards and to address dissolved oxygen (DO) impairment in sensitive areas of the Sound. The City shares Ecology's goal, however, we do not have sufficient information to support the PSNGP as proposed by Ecology for the following reasons:

**The science does not support issuance of the PSNGP at this time.** There remain significant scientific uncertainties associated with understanding DO depletions in Puget Sound and use of the Salish Sea Model as the tool to support regulatory requirements. The Puget Sound is a complex ecosystem that needs to be studied and evaluated by a body of experts. Additionally, Ecology has not fully considered the environmental trade-offs such as the increase in greenhouse gas emissions that occurs with increased nitrogen removal.

**The PSNGP, as proposed by Ecology, will have significant economic impacts on residents and business owners in this region.** Ecology needs to assess the economic impacts of the PSNGP to ensure decision-makers are well informed on what the costs to residents and businesses will be. Residents and

businesses served by King County wastewater treatment facilities could see rate increases three times greater than current rates to comply with the PSNGP as proposed. Given the regional need to tackle stormwater, habitat restoration, and other actions necessary for Puget Sound recovery, Ecology should recognize the cost of all of these environmental projects and prioritize those projects with the greatest water quality benefit. We must get this right.

**King County is already not affordable for many residents and businesses.** We have complied with the Growth Management Act and focused growth within the urban growth boundary, resulting in dense urban neighborhoods in King County which makes it very expensive to expand the footprint of treatment facilities to comply with the proposed PSNGP. Significantly increased sewer rates could cause residents to move elsewhere and businesses to relocate. We do not want to see any further displacement. We want to maintain affordability for residents and businesses in this region.

**Ecology should fully explore alternatives.** Ecology should explore alternative approaches to improving Puget Sound water quality. For example, an alternative approach should initially focus on shallow bays where dissolved oxygen is most problematic. This would be faster and achieve the most impactful water quality benefit more quickly. Nitrogen removal is a huge investment for this region. We strongly support the restoration of Puget Sound, but Ecology has not fully explored alternatives that would lead to a greater water quality benefit. We do not have sufficient information yet from Ecology to justify this kind of significant investment to residents and business owners.

We are requesting that Ecology delay the issuance of the PSNGP until the modelling and data on nutrients are well understood and alternatives are fully evaluated. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Public Works Director Jeff Elekes at [JElekes@sammamish.us](mailto:JElekes@sammamish.us) or Deputy Public Works Director Audrie Starsy at [AStarsy@sammamish.us](mailto:AStarsy@sammamish.us).

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,

*David L Rudat*

Dave Rudat  
City Manager  
City of Sammamish