I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

A. L., WA 98028

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Cathleen Gosho, WA 98133

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Toniann Reading, WA 98294

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Karen Genest, WA 98683

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Robert Meyer, WA 98104

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Randy Guthrie, WA 98290

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dianna MacLeod, WA 98236

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dennis Ledden, WA 98382

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Matthew Boguske, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kevin Gallagher, WA 98155

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Marilee Wood, WA 98250

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lozz Starseed, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Elena Rumiantseva, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tika Bordelon, WA 98101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Maria Lubienski, CA 95077

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mana Iluna, WA 98007

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Michael Lampi, WA 98008

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Alanna Taylor, WA 98020

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Carlann Copps, WA 98221

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Charlene Lauzon, WA 98036

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mary Johnson, WA 98383

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Smith, WA 98908

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Sarah Pruett, WA 98070

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mara Macdonald, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Amy Mower, WA 98266

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dani Maron-Oliver, WA 98632

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Noel Barnes, WA 98058

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Michael and Barbara Hill, WA 98355

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jonathan Hartman, WA 98607

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Melissa Rubin, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Chad Evans, WA 98366

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Brandie Deal, WA 98021

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Thomas Brady, WA 99208

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kjersten Gmeiner, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jini Fisher, WA 98027

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lauren Cardella, WA 98106

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Eleanor Morris, WA 98546

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

David Laws, WA 98229

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nancy Sosnove, WA 98201

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Marcene McGowan, WA 99216

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Cornelia Teed, WA 98225

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

J. Eggers, WA 99101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dawn Yorker, WA 98118

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lorie Lucky, WA 98198

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Victoria Urias, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Srijan Chakraborty, WA 98155

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lozz Starseed, WA 98104

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ravinder Bajwa, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Barbara Tountas, WA 98155

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ronnie Foster, WA 99026

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Leslie Spurling, WA 98133

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Carrie Pluger, WA 98117

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Keith Haller, WA 98512

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kathy Wilmering, WA 98116

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Brad Buchanan, WA 98245

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Margarer M, WA 98466

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lori Erbs, WA 98220

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lee Stafford, WA 98004

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jana Doak, WA 98059

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Fay Payton, WA 99324

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mary Kita, WA 98053

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Daniel Brant, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Donna Leavitt, WA 98026

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Richard Grassl, WA 99301

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nena Cook, WA 99156

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Norm Conrad, WA 98274

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Julie Whitacre, WA 98226

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Shemayim Elohim, WA 98122

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jon Jaffe, WA 98144

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nancy Hayden, WA 99224

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Judith Dobkevich, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Eleanor Dowson, WA 98012

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

James Felizola, WA 98502

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Sheila McDonnal, WA 98101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Erik LaRue, WA 98233

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Emily Van Alyne, WA 99353

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kathleen Ward, WA 98367

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Carol Else, WA 98498

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mark Wahl, WA 98260

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

John Sailer, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Andrea Vos, WA 98271

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Richard Johnson, WA 98229

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Adam Fahlstrom, WA 98087

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Florie Rothenberg, WA 98126

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Wesley Banks, WA 98682

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Heather Davidson, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tina Gardner, CO 80513

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mont Francisco, WA 98502

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Shary B, WA 98101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mike Conlan, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kindy Kemp, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Linda Ballantine, WA 98232

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Katherine Nelson, WA 98031

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

James Murphy, WA 98116

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Debbie Thorn, WA 98024

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kenneth Mincin, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Terry Barton, WA 98012

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Billy Kemp, WA 98411

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tracy Ouellette, WA 98232

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nancy Hh, WA 98520

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Paul Latimer, WA 98362

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Laurie Slater, WA 98004

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jeremy Lawless, WA 98405

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

David Ellis, WA 98592

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Vivian Sovran, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

J. Woodworth, WA 99025

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Florence Harty, WA 98672

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Duane Niatum, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

G D Abbott, WA 98506

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Melissa Rees, WA 99212

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Susan Shouse, WA 98201

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Richard Kunz, WA 98370

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Chris Guillory, WA 98362

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Stusser, WA 98177

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Silvia De Los Santos, GA 31005

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Marianne Jacobs, WA 98388

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Barbara Wight, WA 98026

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Don Stutheit, WA 98026

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Julie O'Donald, WA 98036

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Priscilla Martinez, WA 98065

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Stacy Strickland, WA 98112

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

April Atwood, OR 97202

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Holger Mathews, WA 98134

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Clayton Jones, WA 98168

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Harrie Kessler, WA 98034

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Steve Shapiro, WA 98144

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Michael Siptroth, WA 98528

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Shelley Simcox, WA 98312

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Glen Anderson, WA 98503

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Roxane Hreha, WA 98407

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

John Burrows, WA 99201

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Linda Bock, WA 98074

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Joseph A. Yencich, WA 98011

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Gianina Graham, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Gloria McClintock, WA 98274

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Andrea Gruszecki, WA 98133

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lloyd Johnston, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

John Simanton, WA 99204

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nadine Wallace, WA 98407

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nancy Peacock, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ginger Goldman, WA 98004

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tyler Otto, WA 98038

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Bruce Gundersen, WA 98370

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Bruce Wade, WA 98274

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Sean Edmison, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Patricia Freiberg, WA 98664

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Max DeNise, WA 98512

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Gloria Skouge, WA 98177

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jeff Freels, WA 98503

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Olivia Pond, WA 98144

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Patricia Rodgers, WA 98034

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

David Newman, WA 98133

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Angie Dixon, WA 98236

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Julie Moore, WA 98311

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jean Waight, WA 98229

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Liz Scranton, WA 98261

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lu Morano, WA 99361

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

K. Eggers, WA 99101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Gay Gorden, WA 98501

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kathryn Jacobs, WA 98816

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Karen Conlon, WA 98902

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Diane Marks, WA 98362

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Susan MacGregor, WA 98052

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

David Stetler, WA 98034

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Sarah Knudsen, WA 98862

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Diana Williams, WA 98503

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Irini Dieringer, CO 80134

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jim Young, WA 99205

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Joseph Donnaway, WA 98338

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Paul Weiss, WA 98321

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Valerie Holland, WA 98382

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Thora Nelson, WA 98346

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Caroline Allen, WA 98074

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Janet Wynne, WA 98229

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kyle Waller, WA 98597

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Barbara Foster, WA 98226

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tracy Wang, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Joanna Lee, DC 20002

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Brian Francis, WA 98011

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ellany Kayce, WA 98178

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dianne Hurst, WA 98516

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lori Stark, WA 98926

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tracey Loyd, WA 98208

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Irene Francis, WA 98294

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Barbara Wilhite, WA 98312

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Giles Sydnor, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Penelope Johansen, WA 98563

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Fritzi Cohen, DC 20036

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

MaryJo Wilkins, WA 99337

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Frances Marquart, WA 98498

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ernetta Skerlec, WA 98499

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

John Fertuna, WA 98512

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Maria Zollo-Klaas, WA 98671

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Sarah Polda, WA 98198

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Tatiana Zolotareva, WA 98112

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lucas Witt, WA 98604

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Gail Atkins, WA 98577

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Patricia Perron, WA 98117

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Forest Shomer, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Richard Monroe, WA 98005

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

David Hand, WA 98110

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Thomas Trescone, WA 98102

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kathlean Keesler, WA 98503

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

J. S., WA 99324

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Derek Benedict, WA 98036

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Renee Bourgea, WA 98686

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Nevins, WA 98325

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Frances Marcolli, WA 98367

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Daniel Henling, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mary Oconnell, WA 98506

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kathleen Hunt, WA 98362

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jody Caicco, WA 98682

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Joan Bowers, WA 98101

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Barry Parker, WA 98664

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mari DeClements, WA 98201

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Brian Loos, WA 98230

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Noah Ehler, WA 98014

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mark Frey, WA 98597

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Christine Mullie, WA 98862

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Keiko Yanagihara, WA 98040

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Leta Rosetree, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Bonnie Bledsoe, WA 98125

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lorelette Knowles, WA 98201

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Joel Flank, WA 98107

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Geoffrey Richards, WA 98370

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Laura Huddlestone, WA 98106

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Ben Rall, WA 99205

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Linda Leonard, WA 98383

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Kerin Matthews, WA 98367

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Cathy Erntson, WA 98353

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jen McGill, WA 98236

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Susan Finley, WA 98270

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Dan Schneider, WA 98115

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Suzanne Dant, WA 98282

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Edna Elze, WA 99176

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jai Boreen, WA 98284

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Fred Greef, WA 98672

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Nancy Anderson, WA 98382

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Darla Austerman, WA 99026

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Jean Jensen, WA 98338

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Judy Bluhm, WA 98092

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Peter Mastenbroek, WA 98033

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Darlene Baker, WA 98075

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Leah Ouellette, WA 98586

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Debra Garrow, WA 98802

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Karol Long, WA 99216

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

JJ Jordan, WA 99352

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Karen Koch, WA 98816

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Heather Murawski, WA 98058

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Margo Robinson, WA 98208

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

James Mulcare, WA 99403

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Deborah Hodack, WA 98367

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Alida Luch, WA 98625

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Stephanie Edwards, WA 98155

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Faye Bartlett, WA 98226

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

M. Canny, WA 98516

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

O. Youmans, WA 98941

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Patrice Skinner, WA 99218

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

K. Youmans, WA 98941

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

L Walters, WA 98665

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

J King, WA 98368

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Michelle Hill, WA 98040

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Bob Bob, WA 98110

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Yvette LaRose, WA 98685

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Mary Sue Galvin, WA 98102

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Victoria Grayland, WA 98028

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Lynn Pacione-Holman, WA 98047

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Larry Severtson, WA 98507

I am a Washington resident and I am not satisfied with the Draft Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) General Permit proposed in June. The permit does not require adequate technology, or sufficient monitoring and reporting, to prevent pollution from reaching our waterways. This draft permit ignores the Department of Ecology's published science showing decades of damage to Washington's waterways as a result of pollution from excess nitrates, E. coli, fecal coliform bacteria, and various other pollutants being discharged by the mega-dairies, beef feedlots, and other CAFOs across the state.

As a Washingtonian, I expect the Department of Ecology to uphold its commitment to "protect, serve, and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." I fear that this permit will only allow for more destruction of my state's environment and lead to a bleaker future for all, but especially for those who live, recreate, and work at or near these facilities. If your department truly strives for "equity and environmental justice," it must fully consider the ongoing impacts of CAFOs on Washington's waters, lands, residents, and EJ communities when drafting its final permit.

In the final permit, your department should make the following major changes: (1) implement feasible modern technology (AKART) as required by the Clean Water Act, such as impermeable lining for liquid and solid waste storage lagoons; (2) implement soil, surface, and groundwater monitoring that will sufficiently detect pollution; (3) safeguard against the overapplication of waste to lands; and (4) implement meaningful discharge limitation standards and best management practices to comply with water quality standards to keep waterways fishable, swimmable, and drinkable.

The Department of Ecology must do what the court ordered you to do in the re-issued permit and protect people, water, and aquatic life from pollution. If you do not significantly improve this permit now, you will not fulfill your duty to protect Washingtonians and our environment from further degradation.

Thank you,

Masha Vernik, WA 98105